

भारत का राजपत्र

The Gazette of India

प्राधिकार से प्रकाश

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

सं. 49] नई विस्तीर्ण, दिनांक, दिसम्बर 6, 1969/अग्रहायण 15, 1891

No. 49] NEW DELHI, SATURDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1969/AGRAHAYANA 15, 1891

इस भाग में निम्न पृष्ठ संलग्न दी जाती हैं जिससे कि यह प्रलग संकलन के रूप में रखा जा सके।

Separate paging is given to this Part in order that it may be filed as a separate compilation.

नोटिस

NOTICE

नीचे लिखे भारत के असाधारण राजपत्र 15 नवम्बर, 1969 तक प्रकाशित किये गये :—

The undermentioned Gazettes of India Extraordinary were published up to the 15th November, 1969:—

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
378	S. O. 4540, dated 6th November, 1969.	Ministry of Law	The Registration of Electors (Third Amendment) Rules, 1969
	का. शा. 4541, दिनांक 6 जुलाई, 1969।	विधि मंत्रालय	निर्वाचक रजिस्ट्रीकरण (तृतीय संशोधन) नियम 1969।
379	S.O. 4627, dated 7th November, 1969.	Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Co-operation	Fixation of maximum prices at which vegetable oil products are to be sold.
380	S.O. 4628, dated 13th November, 1969.	Ministry of Home Affairs	Declaring the duty by every person serving in Madhya Pradesh as active duty for a period of three months with effect from 12th November, 1969.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
381	S. O. 4629, dated 13th November, 1969.	Election Commission of India.	Appointment of certain Electoral Registration Officers and Assistant Electoral Registration Officers in respect of each of the Parliamentary Constituencies in the Union Territory of Delhi as specified in the table therein.
	(स० ओ० 4629, भारत निर्वाचन आयोग दिनांक 13 नवम्बर, 1969।		दारणी में विनियोग संबंधित रजिस्ट्रीकरण आफिसर तथा सहायक नियोग संबंधित रजिस्ट्रीकरण आफिसर को दिल्ली संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में के हर एक संसदीय नियोग क्षेत्र के लिए नियुक्त करना।
	S. O. 4630, dated 14th November, 1969.	Cabinet Secretariat	The Government of India (Allocation of Business) (Seventy-sixth Amendment) Rules, 1969.
	O. 4631, dated 14th November, 1969.	Election Commission of India.	Designating Shri N. Chandra Sekhar, Assistant Commissioner (Settlement), Port Blair, as the Electoral Registration Officer for the Andaman and Nicobar Parliamentary constituency.
	(स० ओ० 4631, भारत निर्वाचन आयोग दिनांक 14 नवम्बर, 1969।		श्री एन चन्द्रशेखर, सहायक आयुक्त (वन्देवस्त), पोर्टब्लेर की अप्पलाज और निकोनार संसदीय नियोग क्षेत्र के लिये नियोग संबंधित रजिस्ट्रीकरण आफिसर के रूप में पदानिहित करना।
	S. O. 4632, dated 14th November, 1969.	Ministry of Home Affairs	The Central Industrial Security Force Rules, 1969.
	S. O. 4633, dated 15th November, 1969.	Election Commission of India.	Appointment of Shri J. O. G. Russell, Election Officer, Delhi as Assistant Electoral Registration Officer for all the Parliamentary constituencies in the Union Territory of Delhi.

Issue No.	No. and Date	Issued by	Subject
एस० ग्रो० 4633,	भारत निवाचन आयोग, दिल्ली 15 नवम्बर 1969।	श्री ज० ग्रो० जी रसक, निर्वाचन आयोग, दिल्ली, को दिल्ली संघ राज्य क्षेत्र में के समस्त संसदीय निर्वाचन क्षेत्रों के लिये सहायक निर्वाचक रजिस्ट्रीकरण आफिसर के रूप में नियुक्त करना।	

उपर लिखे असाधारण राजपत्रों की प्रतियाँ प्रकाशन प्रबन्धक, सिविल लाइन्स, दिल्ली के नाम
मांगपत्र भेजने पर भेज दी जाएंगी। मांगपत्र प्रबन्धक के पास इन राजपत्रों के जारी होने की
तारीख से 10 दिन के भीतर पहुँच जाने चाहिए।

Copies of the Gazettes Extraordinary mentioned above will be supplied on
indent to the Manager of Publications, Civil Lines, Delhi. Indents should be
submitted so as to reach the Manager within ten days of the date of issue of
these Gazettes.

भाग II—जन्म 3—उपलब्ध (ii)

PART II—Section 3—Sub-section (ii)

(रक्षा मंत्रालय को छोड़कर) भारत सरकार के मंत्रालयों और (सभ क्षेत्र प्रशासन को छोड़कर)
केन्द्रीय प्राधिकरणों द्वारा जारी किये गए विचिक्षण घारें और प्रविसूचनाएं।

Statutory orders and notifications issued by the Ministries of the Government of India (other than the Ministry of Defence) and by Central Authorities (other than the Administration of Union Territories).

ELECTION COMMISSION OF INDIA

New Delhi, the 20th November 1969

S.O. 4786.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-sections (1 and (2) of Section 13AA of the Representation of the People Act, 1950, the Election Commission of India in consultation with the State Government hereby directs that the following further amendments be made in its notification No. 508/NL/68, dated the 24th February, 1968, namely—

In columns (2) and (3) of the Table appended thereto the following entries shall be added against Serial No. 1

2

3

Additional Deputy Commissioner,
Mon

District Election Officer for Moa Sub-
Division.

Sub-Divisional Office, Kiphire

District Election Officer Kiphire Sub-
Division.

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 4th November 1969

S.O. 4787.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Joseph Marandi R/O village Manohar Chak Piyalgharai, P.O. Tasria, District Santhal Parganas (Bihar) a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Dumka Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Joseph Marandi to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/152/69(35).]

भारत निर्बाचन प्रायोग

आदेश

नई दिल्ली, 4 नवम्बर, 1969

एस.ओ. 4787—यह, निर्बाचन प्रायोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्बाचन के लिए दुमका निर्बाचन क्षेत्र से खुनाव सड़के वाले उम्मीदवार श्री जोसेफ मराण्डी, निवासी प्राम मनोहर चक पियालघरा, डा० तसरिया, जिला संताल परगना (बिहार) सोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्बाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्भव सूचना दिये जाने पर भी, अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्बाचन प्रायोग का समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की द्वारा 10क के अनुसरण में निर्बाचन प्रायोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री जोसेफ मराण्डी को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य बने जाने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए मिरहत घोषित करता है।

[सं. बिहार-वि० स०/152/69 (35).]

S.O. 4788.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Rasik Murmu R/O village Kusumdhik, P.O. Kuruwa, District Santhal Parganas (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Dumka Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Rasik Murmu, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/152/69(36).]

एस० औ० 4788.—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए दुमका निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री रसिक मुरम् निवासी ग्राम कुसुमडी, डा० कुरुवा, जिला संताल परगना (बिहार), लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम 1951 तथा तदधीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा वाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

और, यतः उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है, तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

यतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10 के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एवं द्वारा उक्त श्री रसिक मुरम् को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस भारत की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है।

[स० बिहार वि० स०/152/69 (36)]

New Delhi, the 6th November 1969

S.O. 4789.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Motiul Rahman, R/O Sohai Lodge, Sahaganj, Patna-6 (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Patna East Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Motiul Rahman, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/205/69(37).]

नई दिल्ली, 6 नवम्बर, 1969

एस० औ० 4789.—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए पटना ईस्ट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री मोतीउल रहमान निवासी सोहलौज, शाहगंज, पटना-6, (बिहार), लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम 1951 तथा तदधीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा वाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

और यतः उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी, अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

यतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एवं द्वारा उक्त श्री मोतीउल रहमान को संसद के दोनों सदनों में से किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस भारत की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है।

[स० बिहार वि० स०/205/69 (37)]

S.O. 4790.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Ram Nandan Gupta, R/O Kalmasikoh, Patna city (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Patna East Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses in the manner as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Ram Nandan Gupta, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/205/69(38).]

एस० ओ० 4790 —यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए पटना ईस्ट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री रामनन्दन गुप्ता निवासी कैमासिकोह, पटना सिटी (बिहार), लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित रीति से अपने निर्वाचन व्यायों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं ;

और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अर्थवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री रामनन्दन गुप्ता को संसद के दोनों सदनों में से किसी भी सेवन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आवेदन की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

[सं० बिहार वि० स०/205/69 (38)]

S.O. 4791.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Ramchandra Sharma R/O village Salimpur, District Patna (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Bakhtarpur Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Ram Chandra Sharma, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/193/69(39).]

एस० ओ० 4791,—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए बलियारपुर निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री रामचन्द्र शर्मा निवासी ग्राम सलिमपुर, जिला पटना (बिहार), लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्यायों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं ;

ओर, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी, अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण अथवा न्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10— के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्-द्वारा उक्त श्री रामचन्द्र शर्मा को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस शादेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

[सं० बिहार वि० स०/१९३/६९ (३९)]

New Delhi, the 7th November 1969

S.O. 4792.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Ram Marandi, R/o Village Sundermore, P.O. Sorouni Bazar, District Santhal Parganas (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Barhait Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Ram Marandi, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/141/69(40).]

नई दिल्ली, 7 नवम्बर, 1969

एस० ओ० 4729.—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए बुरहेट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री राम मरांडी निवासी ग्राम मुन्द्रमोर, पौ० सरैनी बाजार, जिला संतालपुरगढ़ (बिहार), लेक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा धाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

ओर, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है।

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10— के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्-द्वारा उक्त श्री राम मरांडी को संसद के दोनों सदनों में से किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस शादेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

[सं० बिहार—वि० स०/१४१/६९ (४०)]

S.O. 4793.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Bhim Baski, R/O Village Dhanjuri, P.O. Berhaut, District Santhal Parganas (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Barhaut Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Bhim Baski, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/141/69(41).]

एस० प्रो० 4793.—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए बुरहेट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री भीम बास्की निवासी प्राम धनगुड़ी, पो० बुरहेट, जिला संताल परगना (बिहार) लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमोंद्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं ;

और यतः उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी, अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण न्यायोचित नहीं है ;

अतः॥ अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10—क के प्रनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री भीम बास्की को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निर्हित घोषित करता है ।

[स० बिहार-वि० सं०/141/69(41)]

S.O. 4794.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Hopa Besra, R/O Village Murjora, G.P. Joradih, District Senthal Parganas (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Barhali Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Hopa Besra to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/141/69(42).]

एस० प्रो० 4794—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा लिए निर्वाचन के लिए बुरहेट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री होपा बेसरा निवासी प्राम मुरजोड़ा, पंचायत—जोरड़ीहा, जिला संताल परगना (बिहार), लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमोंद्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं ;

और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है, तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है ;

अतः, अब उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद् द्वारा उक्त श्री होपा बसेरा को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस ग्रामीण की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निर्वाचन घोषित करता है।

[सं० बिहार-वि० स० /141/69 (42)]

S.O. 4795.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Ayub Mian, R/O village Belidih, P.O. Nanidih, District Santhal Parganas (Bihar) a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Jarmundi Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Ayub Mian, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/151/69(43.)]

एस०ओ०.—4795 यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए जरमुडी निर्वाचन क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री आयुब मियां निवासी ग्राम बलीडीह डा० नानीडीह, जिला संताल परगना (बिहार), लोक प्रतिनिधित्व प्रधिनियम 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा वाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

और, यतः उक्त उम्मीदवार ने उसे सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है;

अतः अब, उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद् द्वारा उक्त श्री आयुब मियां को संसद के दोनों सदनों में से किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए इस ग्रामीण की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निर्वाचन घोषित करता है।

[सं० बिहार-वि० स० 151/69 (43)]

New Delhi, the 11th November 1969

S.O. 4796.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Gamaliyal Hembram, R/O village Taledih, P.O. Mandro, District Santhal Parganas (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Borlo Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Gamaliyal Hembram to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/140/69(44).]

नई दिल्ली, 11 नवम्बर, 1969

एस० ओ० 4796.—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए बोरियों निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री गमलीयल हेम्बरम निवासी प्राम तालेडीह, पो०—भण्डरो, जिला संतालपरगना (बिहार) लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

अतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार ने उसे सम्यक सूचना दिये जाने पर भी अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

अतः, अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री गमलीयल हेम्बरम को संसद के दोनों सदनों में से किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है।

[स० बिहार—वि० स०/140/69 (44)]

S.O. 4797.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Ram Lagan Rabidas, R/O village Manora, P.O. Punpum, District Patna (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from Punpum Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Ram Lagan Rabidas, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/203/69.]

एस० ओ० 4797.—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए पुनर्पुन निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री राम लगन रविदास निवासी ग्राम—मनोरा, पो० पुनर्पुन, जिला पटना (बिहार) लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

उक्त उम्मीदवार ने उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी, अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित्य नहीं है;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री राम लगन रविदास को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है।

[स० बिहार—वि० स०/203/69 (45)]

S.O. 4798.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Fakira Baitha, R/O village and P.O. Naranga, District Muzaffarpur (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from 72-Sonbarsa Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Fakira Baitha, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/72/69(46).]

एस०ओ० 4798—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए 72—सोनवरसा निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री फकीरा बैठा नियम सी ग्राम पो० नरंगा, जिला मुजफ्फरपुर (बिहार), लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं।

अतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार ने उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी, अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है;

अतः, अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10—के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एवं द्वारा उक्त श्री फकीरा बैठा को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

[सं० बिहार—वि० सं० /72/69 (46)]

S.O. 4799.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri Motilal Rajak, R/O Ward No. 30, Muzaffarpur Municipality, District Muzaffarpur (Bihar), a contesting candidate for election to the Bihar Legislative Assembly from 51-Patepur Assembly Constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

2. And whereas, the said candidate even after due notice has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for such failure;

3. Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri Motilal Rajak, to be disqualified for being chosen as and for being a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. BR-LA/51/69(47).]

एस०ओ० 4799—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि बिहार विधान सभा के लिए निर्वाचन के लिए 51—पासेपुर निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री मोतीलाल रजक निवासी वाड, नं० 30 मुजफ्फरपुर नगरपालिका, जिला मुजफ्फरपुर (बिहार) लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

श्रीर, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार ने, उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी, अपनी इस असफलता के लिये कोई कारण स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त धारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है;

अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10—क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री मोतीलाल रज़क़ को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने श्रीरहोने के लिए, इस ग्रामेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

[सं० बिहार-वि० स०/51/69 (47)]

New Delhi, the 13th November 1969

S.O. 4800.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri R. Kulanthai, Pidaricoupol, Tiroubouvane P.O. Pondicherry a contesting candidate for mid-term election held in March, 1969 to the Pondicherry Legislative Assembly from Tiroubouvane constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after due notices, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri R. Kulanthai to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. PD-LA/13/69(1).]

इद दिल्ली, 13 नवम्बर, 1969

एस० औ० 4800.—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि मार्च, 1969 में हुए पाण्डिचेरी विधान सभा के लिए मध्यावधि निर्वाचन के लिए तिरौबोवने निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री आर० कुलन्याइ पिडारीकौपोम् तिरौबोवने डाकघर, पाण्डिचेरी लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन अनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

श्रीर, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है श्रीर उसने अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है, तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है ;

अतः, अब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10—क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री आर० कुलन्याइ को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य चुने जाने श्रीर होने के लिए, इस ग्रामेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

[सं० पाण्ड०-वि० स०/13/69/ (1)]

S.O. 4801.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri R. Mannathan, Kothapurinathampet, Periababusamuthirampo P.O. Pondicherry a contesting candidate for the mid-term election held in March, 1969 to the Pondicherry Legislative Assembly from Tiroubouvane constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas the said candidate, even after due notices, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares the said Shri R. Mannathan to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. PD-LA/13/69(2).]

एस०ओ० 4801.—यतः, निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि मार्च, 1969 में हुए पाण्डित्येरी विधान सभा के लिए मध्यावधि निर्वाचन के लिए तिरौबौद्धने निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव लड़ने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री आर० मन्नाथन, कोषापुरितथामेट, पेरिआबाबू समुखिरम (डाकघर) पाण्डित्येरी सोक प्रतिनिधित्व प्रधिनियम, 1951 तथा तदूधीन बनए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निर्वाचन व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं ;

और, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार उसे सम्यक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है और उसने अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण प्रथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं दिया है ; तथा निर्वाचन आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या व्यायोचित्य नहीं है ;

अतः, अब, उक्त प्रधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निर्वाचन आयोग एतदद्वारा उक्त श्री आर० मन्नाथन को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा प्रथवा विधान परिषद् के सदस्य बने जाने और होने के लिए, इस आदेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरर्हित घोषित करता है ।

[स० पाण्ड०—वि० स०/13/69 (2).]

New Delhi, the 20th November 1969

S.O. 4802.—Whereas the Election Commission is satisfied that Shri M. Thangapragasam, No. 29, Bharathidasan Street, Muthialpet, Pondicherry a contesting candidate for the mid-term election held in March, 1969 to the Pondicherry Legislative Assembly from Mouttalpet constituency, has failed to lodge an account of his election expenses as required by the Representation of the People Act, 1951, and the Rules made thereunder;

And whereas, the said candidate, even after due notices, has not given any reason or explanation for the failure and the Election Commission is satisfied that he has no good reason or justification for the failure;

Now, therefore, in pursuance of section 10A of the said Act, the Election Commission hereby declares that the said Shri M. Thangapragasam to be disqualified for being chosen as, and for being, a member of either House of Parliament or of the Legislative Assembly or Legislative Council of a State for a period of three years from the date of this order.

[No. PD-LA/1/69.]

By Order,

A. N. SEN, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 20 नवम्बर, 1969

एस०ओ० 4802—यतः निर्वाचन आयोग का समाधान हो गया है कि मार्च, 1969 में हुए पाण्डित्येरी विधान सभा के लिए मध्यावधि निर्वाचन के लिए मीटौलपेट निर्वाचन-क्षेत्र से चुनाव

लहने वाले उम्मीदवार श्री एम० थांगप्रगासम, सं० 29, मारथिङ्गासन स्ट्रीट, मुख्यालयेट 29⁴ पाइण्डरी, लोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 तथा तद्धीन बनाए गए नियमों द्वारा अपेक्षित अपने निवाचित व्ययों का कोई भी लेखा वाखिल करने में असफल रहे हैं;

प्रौर, यतः, उक्त उम्मीदवार उसे सम्पक सूचना दिए जाने पर भी लेखा दाखिल करने में असफल रहा है प्रौर उसने अपनी इस असफलता के लिए कोई कारण अथवा स्पष्टीकरण नहीं विद्या है तथा निवाचित आयोग का यह समाधान हो गया है कि उसके पास इस असफलता के लिए कोई पर्याप्त कारण या न्यायोचित नहीं है;

यतः, प्रब, उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 10-क के अनुसरण में निवाचित आयोग एतद्वारा उक्त श्री एम० थंगप्रगासम को संसद के किसी भी सदन के या किसी राज्य की विधान सभा अथवा विधान परिषद के सदस्य चुने जाने और होने के लिए, इस प्रादेश की तारीख से तीन वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए निरहित घोषित करता है।

[सं० पाइण्ड०-वि० स०/1/69]

आवेश से,

ए० एन० सन, सचिव।

New Delhi, the 21st November 1969

S.O. 4803.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 22 of the Representation of the People Act, 1951, (43 of 1951), the Election Commission hereby directs that in column 3 of the Table appended to its notification No. 434/GJ/68, dated the 27th February, 1968, for the existing entries Nos. 2 and 1, against “15-Dohad and 30-Dabhoi”, respectively, the entry “Prant Officer, Chhota-Udaipur Sub-Division, Chhota-Udaipur” shall be substituted.

[No. 434/GJ/69.]

By Order,

K. S. RAJAGOPALAN, Secy.

नई दिल्ली, 21 नवम्बर, 1969

एस० ओ० 4803.—सोक प्रतिनिधित्व अधिनियम, 1951 (1951 का 43) की धारा 22 उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए निवाचित आयोग एतद्वारा नियंत्रण देता है कि अपनी अधिसूचना संख्या 434/गुज०/69 तारीख 27 फरवरी, 1968 के साथ संलग्न सारणी के स्तम्भ 3 में “15—दोहद और 20—दभोई” के सम्मुख क्रमानुसार विद्यमान प्रविष्टियों संख्या 2 और 1 के लिए “प्रान्त आक्सिसर, छोटा-उदयपुर उप-खण्ड, छोटा-उदयपुर” प्रविष्टि प्रतिस्थापित की जाएगी।

[सं० 434/गुज /69]

आवेश से,

के० एस० राजगोपालन, सचिव।

MINISTRY OF FINANCE

(Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 26th November 1969

S.O. 4804.—In pursuance of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 20 of the Agricultural Refinance Corporation Act, 1963 (10 of 1963), the Central Government hereby fixes 5½ per cent (Five and three-fourths per cent) per annum as the rate of interest payable on the bonds of Rs. 10 crores to be issued at par with a maturity period of 12 years by the Agricultural Refinance Corporation.

[No. F. 14/47/69-SB.]

K. RAMAMURTHY, Jt. Secy.

(Department of Banking)

New Delhi, the 27th November 1969

S.O. 4805.—Statement of the Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, as on the 21st November, 1969.

BANKING DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.
Capital Paid up	5,00,00,000	Notes	23,91,71,000
		Rupee Coin	2,95,000
Reserve Fund	150,00,00,000	Small Coin	6,29,000
National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	155,00,00,000	Bills Purchased and Discounted :	
		(a) Internal
		(b) External
		(c) Government Treasury Bills	193,06,73,000
National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund	35,00,00,000	Balance Held Abroad*	182,22,20,000
		Investments**	102,50,93,000
National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund	75,00,00,000	Loans and Advances to :—	
		(i) Central Government	
		(ii) State Governments @	124,36,23,000

Loans and Advances to i—			
(a) Government		(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks†	11,21,20,000
(i) Central Government .	60,96,91,00	(ii) State Co-operative Banks††	27,13,03,000
(ii) State Governments . . .	7,45,63,000	(iii) Others	2,87,93,000
(b) Banks		Loans, Advances and Investments from National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :—	
(i) Scheduled Commercial Banks . . .	188,43,66,000	(a) Loans and Advances to :—	
(ii) Scheduled State Co-operative Banks . .	6,67,47,000	(i) State Governments	31,32,43,000
(iii) Non-Scheduled State Co-operative Banks	58,00,000	(ii) State Co-operative Banks	16,12,14,000
(iv) Other Banks	18,17,000	(iii) Central Land Mortgage Banks	..
(c) Others . . .	239,43,94,000	(b) Investment in Central Land Mortgage Bank Debentures	9,74,61,000
Bills Payable . . .	32,12,80,000	Loans and Advances from National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund :—	
Other Liabilities . . .	61,58,51,000	Loans and Advances to State Co-operative Banks .	6,56,51,000
Rupees	1017,45,09,000	Loans, Advances and Investments from National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund :—	
		(a) Loans and Advances to the Development Bank	6,26,71,000
		(b) Investment in bonds/debentures issued by the Development Bank	..
		Other Assets	35,85,69,000
		Rupees	1017,45,09,000

*Includes Cash, Fixed Deposits and Short-term Securities.

**Excluding investments from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Industrial Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund

†Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund, but including temporary overdrafts to State Governments.

††Includes Rs. 3,13,70,000 advanced to scheduled commercial banks against usance bills under section 17(4) (c) of the Reserve Bank of India Act.

††Excluding Loans and Advances from the National Agricultural Credit (Long Term Operations) Fund and the National Agricultural Credit (Stabilisation) Fund

Dated the 26th day of November, 1969

An Account pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934, for the week ended the 21st day of November, 1969

ISSUE DEPARTMENT

LIABILITIES	Rs.	Rs.	ASSETS	Rs.	Rs.
Notes held in the Banking Department			Gold Coin and Bullion:—		
.	23,91,71,000		(a) Held in India	182,53,11,000	
Notes in circulation	3552,87,44,000		(b) Held outside India		
Total Notes issued	3576,79,15,000		Foreign Securities	261,42,00,000	
			TOTAL		443,95,11,000
			Rupee Coin		70,61,73,000
			Government of India Rupee Securities		3062,22,31,000
			Internal Bills of Exchange and other Commercial paper		
Total Liabilities	3576,79,15,000		Total Assets		3576,79,15,000

Dated the 26th day of November, 1969.

L. K. JHA,
Governor
[No. F. 3(3)-BC/69.]
K. YESURATNAM Under Secy.

CORRIGENDUM

In the Gazette of India issue dated 1st November 1969 Part II-Section 3(ii)

- (i) At page 4661 the figure of Rs. 2,45,00,000 appearing in the foot-note number 4 to the Statement of Affairs of the Reserve Bank of India, Banking Department as on 10th October, 1969 relating to the sub-head 'Loans & Advances to the Scheduled Commercial Banks' should be read as 25,66,00, 000.
- (ii) At page 4662 the figure of Rs. 2,20,88,70,000/- appearing against the sub-head "Foreign Securities" on the Assets Side of the Account of the Reserve Bank of India, Issue Department pursuant to the Reserve Bank of India Act, 1934 for the week ended the 10th day of October 1969 should be read as Rs. 2,60,88,70,000/-

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

New Delhi, the 26th November 1969

S.O. 4806.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 39 of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956), the Central Government hereby publishes the following certificate granted to the Bikram General Assurance Ltd. an insurer whose controlled business has been transferred to and vested in the Life Insurance Corporation of India under the provisions of the said Act.

Certificate

Whereas the Bikram General Assurance Limited is an insurer whose controlled business has been transferred to and vested in the Life Insurance Corporation of India under the provisions of the Life Insurance Corporation Act, 1956 (31 of 1956);

And whereas the said insurer has collected and distributed compensation money paid to it by the Corporation under the provisions of the said Act;

And whereas the said insurer has complied with all directions given to it by the said Corporation for the purpose of securing that the ownership of any property or any right is effectively transferred to the Corporation;

And whereas the said insurer has made an application to the Central Government that there is no reason for the continued existence of the insurer;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 39 of the said Act, the Central Government hereby grants to the said insurer the certificate that there is no reason for the continued existence of the insurer.

[No. 3(2)-INS.II/67.]

(राजस्व और बीमा विभाग)

नई दिल्ली, 26 नवम्बर, 1969

का० आ० 4806—जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) की धारा 39 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार बिक्रम जनरल एश्योरेन्स लिमिटेड को, जो एक बीमा कर्ता है और जिसके नियंत्रणाधीन का कारबाहर उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्धों के अधीन भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को अन्तरित और उसमें निहित कर दिया गया है अनुदत्त किए गए निम्नलिखित प्रमाणपत्र को एतद्वारा प्रकाशित करती है।

प्रमाणपत्र

यतः बिक्रम जनरल एश्योरेन्स लिमिटेड जो एक बीमाकर्ता है और जिसके नियंत्रणाधीन का कारबाहर जीवन बीमा निगम अधिनियम, 1956 (1956 का 31) के उपबन्धों के अधीन भारतीय जीवन बीमा निगम को अन्तरित और उसमें निहित कर दिया गया है :

और यतः उक्त बीमाकर्ता ने उक्त अधिनियम के उपबन्धों के अधीन निगम द्वारा उसे संदत्त प्रतिकारधन का संग्रहण और वितरण कर लिया है ;

और यतः उक्त बीमाकर्ता ने यह सुनिश्चित करने के प्रयोजनार्थ, कि किसी सम्पत्ति का स्वामित्व या कोई अधिकार निगम को प्रभावपूर्ण तथा अन्तरित हो जाता है उक्त निगम द्वारा उसे दिये गये सभी निषेधों का अनुपालन किया है ;

और यतः उक्त बीमाकर्ता ने केन्द्रीय सरकार को आवेदन किया है कि बीमाकर्ता का अस्तित्व बना रहने का कोई कारण नहीं है ;

अतः अब उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 39 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार उक्त बीमाकर्ता को एतद्वारा यह प्रमाणपत्र अनुदत्त करती है कि बीमाकर्ता का अस्तित्व बना रहने का कोई कारण नहीं है।

[सं० 3(2)-बीमा (11)-67]

आर० ० के महाजन, उपसचिव ।

New Delhi, the 28th November 1969

S.O. 4807.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (q) of sub-section (1) of section 27A of the Insurance Act, 1938 (4 of 1938) as applied to the Life Insurance Corporation of India by the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Economic Affairs) No. G.S.R. 734, dated the 23rd August, 1958, the Central Government hereby declares the debentures of the value not exceeding five crores rupees issued in 1969 by the Industrial Credit and Investment Corporation of India Limited as approved investments for the purposes of the above section.

[No. F. 51(40)-INS.I/69-I.]

S.O. 4808.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (j) of sub-section (1) of section 27B of the Insurance Act, 1938 (4 of 1938), the Central Government hereby declares the debentures of the value not exceeding five crores rupees issued in 1969 by the Industrial Credit and Investment Corporation of India Limited, as approved investments for the purposes of the above section.

[No. F. 51(40)-INS.I/69-II.]

R. K. MAHAJAN, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)

CUSTOMS

New Delhi, the 6th December 1969

S.O. 4809.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri A. Dharma Rao, Superintendent of Central Excise, Class I, to exercise the powers and discharge the duties of Assistant Collector of Customs, within the jurisdiction of the Collector of Customs, Madras.

[No. 159-Customs/F. No. 22/11/69-Cus. IV.]

(राजस्व और बीमा विभाग)

सीमाशुल्क

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 1969

एस० ओ० 48०९—सीमा शुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा श्री ए० घर्म राव, केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क अधीक्षक, वर्ग 1 को सीमा शुल्क कलेक्टर, मद्रास की अधिक रिता के भीतर सहायक सीमा शुल्क कलेक्टर की शक्तियों का पालन और कर्तव्यों का निर्भन करने के लिए नियुक्त करती है :

[सं० 159-सीमा शुल्क/फा० सं० 22/11/69-सी० शु० 4]

S.O. 4810.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of section 152 of the Customs Act, 1962 (52 of 1962) and of all other powers hereunto enabling, the Central Government hereby rescinds the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue and Insurance) No. 138-Customs/F. No. 22/12/69-Cus.IV, dated the 22nd September, 1969, published at page 1283 of the Gazette of India, Extraordinary, Part II, Section 3, sub-section (ii), dated the 22nd September, 1969.

[No. 160-Customs/F. No. 22/12/69-Cus.IV.]

M. G. ABROL, Jt. Secy.

एस० ओ० 48१०—सीमाशुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की धारा 152 के खण्ड (ग) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का और इस निर्मित समर्थ बनाने वाली सभी अन्य शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, भारत के राजपत्र, प्रसाधारण, तारीख 22 सितम्बर, 1969, भाग 2, खण्ड 3, उपखण्ड (II), के पृष्ठ 1283 पर प्रकाशित भारत सरकार के वित्त मंत्रालय (राजस्व और बीमा विभाग) की अधिसूचना सं० 138—सीमाशुल्क फा० सं० 22/12/69—सीमाशुल्क 4, तारीख 22 सितम्बर, 1969, को एतद्वारा विच्छिन्न करती है।

[सं० 160-सीमाशुल्क/फा०/सं० 22/12/69—सी० शु० IV]

एम० जी० अब्रोल, संयुक्त सचिव

(Department of Revenue and Insurance)
STAMPS

New Delhi, the 6th December 1969

S.O. 4811.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the debentures to the value of five crores of rupees to be issued by the Industrial Credit and Investment Corporation of India Limited, Bombay, are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 14/69-Stamps/F. No. 1/39/69-Cus.VII.]

(राजस्व और सीमा विभाग)

स्टाम्प

नई दिल्ली, 6 दिसम्बर, 1969

एस० ओ० 4811:—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम, 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उस शुल्क की जिससे इन्डस्ट्रियल क्रेडिट एंड इन्वेस्टमेंट कारपोरेशन आफ इंडिया लिमिटेड, मुम्बई द्वारा पुरोधृत किए जाने वाले पांच करोड़ रुपये के मूल्य के डिवन्चर इस अधिनियम के अधीन प्रभार्य हैं, एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० 14/69-स्टाम्प/फा० सं० 1/39/69-सीमा शुल्क-7]

S.O. 4812.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 9 of the Indian Stamp Act, 1899 (2 of 1899), the Central Government hereby remits the duty with which the debentures to the value of one hundred and ten lakhs of rupees, to be issued by the Madras State Housing Board, in the form of stock certificates and promissory notes are chargeable under the said Act.

[No. 15/69-Stamps/F. No. 1/41/69-Cus.VII.]

P. K. KAPOOR, Under Secy.

एस० ओ० 4812:—भारतीय स्टाम्प अधिनियम 1899 (1899 का 2) की धारा 9 की उपधारा (1) के खण्ड (क) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार उस शुल्क की जिससे मद्रास राज्य आवासन बोर्ड द्वारा स्टाक प्रमाणपत्रों और बचन पत्रों के रूप में पुरोधृत किए जाने वाले एक सौ दस लाख रुपए के डिवन्चर उक्त अधिनियम के अधीन प्रभार्य हैं एतद्वारा छूट देती है।

[सं० 15/69-स्टाम्प/फा० सं० 1/4/69-सीमा शुल्क 7]

पी० कै० कपूर, अवर सचिव ।

(राजस्व और सीमा विभाग)

सीमा शुल्क

नई दिल्ली, 28 जुलाई, 1969

एस० ओ० 3057:—सीमा शुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की धारा 4 की उपधारा (1) के द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार, श्री एम० पंचपा को, सीमा शुल्क कलैक्टर, मुम्बई के रूप में एतद्वारा नियुक्त करती है।

2. पैरा 1 के अन्तर्गत की गई श्री एम० पंचपा की नियुक्ति, सीमा शुल्क कलैक्टर मुम्बई के रूप में श्री जी० एस० साहनी की नियुक्ति पर प्रतिकूल प्रभाव डाले बिना, होगी।

[सं० 114/फा० सं० 22/3/69-कस्टम 4]

नई दिल्ली, 22 सितम्बर, 1969

एस० ओ० 3845.—सीमा शुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की धारा 152 के खण्ड (ग) द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार एतद्वारा नियुक्त होती है कि उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 122 के अधीन सीमा शुल्क के काउप-कलैक्टर द्वारा प्रयोक्तव्य शक्तियों श्री एम० एस० खारकर, सीमा शुल्क सहायक कलैक्टर, मुम्बई कस्टम हाउस, द्वारा भी प्रयोक्तव्य होंगी।

[सं० 138-सीमा शुल्क/फा० सं० 22/12/69-सीमा शुल्क-IV]

एम० जी० श्रील, संयुक्त सचिव ।

उत्प्रवार समाशुल्क नोटिस वर्षे

सीमा शुल्क

नई दिल्ली, 28 जलाई, 1969

एस० ओ० ३०५८—सीमा शुल्क अधिनियम, 1962 (1962 का 52) की धारा 5 की उपधारा (1) का अनुसरण करते हुए, उत्पाद और सीमा शुल्क केन्द्रीय बोर्ड एतदद्वारा निर्देश देता है कि सीमा शुल्क कलेक्टर, मुख्य श्री एम० पंचपा, ऐसे कलेक्टर के रूप में, उक्त अधिनियम के अन्तर्गत उसके अध्याय : 4 के अन्तर्गत आने वालों के अतिरिक्त, किसी भी शक्ति का प्रयोग नहीं करेंगे या सीमा शुल्क कलेक्टर को दिए गए या अधिरोपित किसी भी ड्यूटी को पूरा नहीं करेंगे।

(सं० 115/फा० सं० 22/3/69—कस्टम 4)

एम० जी० अब्बोल, सदस्य।

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER OF INCOME-TAX, POONA

Poona the 20th November 1969

Jurisdiction—Creation of new post of Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Poona Range-II, Poona.

S.O. 4813.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Sec. 123 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961), the Commissioner of Income-tax, Poona, hereby directs that a Charge known as Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Poona Range-II, Poona, shall be created at Poona, and that the existing charge of Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Poona Range, Poona, shall be re-designated as Inspecting Assistant Commissioner of Income-tax, Poona Range-I, Poona.

2. This notification shall take effect from 28-11-1969.

[No. 143-1/69-70(Tech.).]

INCOME-TAX

Poona, the 20th November, 1969

S.O. 4814.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Sec. 123 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 (43 of 1961) and in supersession of existing orders in this regard, the Commissioner of Income-tax, Poona, hereby directs that the Inspecting Assistant Commissioners of Income-tax of the Ranges mentioned in Column 1 of the Schedule, shall exercise jurisdiction over the Income-tax Wards, Circles and the Districts shown against their respective Ranges in the second column of the Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Range & Headquarters	Jurisdiction
1	2
1. Poona Range-I, Poona	All Wards/Circles in Poona District (excluding G.H.Q., I.T. Office, Poona Salaries & Refunds Circle, Poona and Tax Recovery Office, Poona).
2. Poona Range-II, Poona	(a) G.H.Q. I.T. Office, Poona (b) Salaries & Refunds Circle, Poona (c) Tax Recovery Office, Poona (d) All Wards in Ahmednagar District
3. Kolhapur Range, Kolhapur	All Wards/Circles in the following districts:— (a) Kolhapur. (b) Sangli. (c) Sholapur. (d) Osmanabad (Latur). (e) Ratnagiri. (f) Satara.

1

2

4. Nasik Range, Nasik

All Wards/Circles in the following Districts:—
(a) Nasik
(b) Dhulia
(c) Aurangabad & Bhir
(d) Jalgaon

5. Thana Range, Thana

All Wards/Circles in the following Districts:—
(a) Thana
(b) Kolaba (Panvel)
(c) Tax Recovery Office, Thana

6. Akola Range, Akola

All Wards/Circles in the following Districts:—
(a) Akola
(b) Amravati
(c) Wardha and Chanda
(d) Yeotmal
(e) Buldhana (Khamgaon)
(f) Nanded and Parbhani

2. This notification shall take effect from 28-11-1969.

(The following explanatory note does not form part of the Notification, but is intended to be merely clarificatory).

Explanatory Note.—The above notification has become necessary due to the creation of a new I.A.C.'s range viz. I.A.C., Poona Range-II, at Poona and consequential re-allocation of work among the I.A.C.s. in the charge.

[No. 143-1/69-70 (Tech.)]

A. BALASUBRAMANIAN,
Commissioner of Income-tax, Poona.

COLLECTORATE OF CENTRAL EXCISE, CALCUTTA AND ORISSA

CENTRAL EXCISES

Calcutta, the 19th November 1969

S.O. 4815.—In exercise of the powers conferred on me by Rule 5 of the Central Excise Rules, 1944, and in supersession of the Collectorate Notification No. 2/1968 dated 31st May, 1968, I hereby authorise the Central Excise Officers of and above the rank of an Assistant Collector in the Collectorate of Central Excise, Calcutta & Orissa, Calcutta, to exercise the powers of Collector under Rule 52-A(1) of the Central Excise Rules, 1944 read with Rule 273-G(2) ibid, in their respective jurisdiction subject to the condition that the document in lieu of Gate Pass (Central Excise Series No. 65A) shall, for the purposes of Rule 173-G(2) ibid, show the rate of duty and the amount of duty paid on the excisable goods and the time of actual removal of the goods from the factory.

[No. 8/C.E./1969.]

D. R. KOHLI, Collector.

MINISTRY OF HEALTH, FAMILY PLANNING, WORKS HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

(Department of Health)

New Delhi, the 19th November 1969

S.O. 4816.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sections 12 and 33 of the Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940 (23 of 1940), the Central Government, after consultation with the Drugs Technical Advisory Board, hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945, the same having been previously published as required by the said sections, namely:—

1. (i) These rules may be called the Drugs and Cosmetics (Fifth Amendment) Rules, 1969.

(ii) They shall come into force on the date of their publication in the Official Gazette.

2. In the Drugs and Cosmetics Rules, 1945 (hereinafter referred to as the said rules),

In rule 2, for clause (dd) the following clause shall be substituted, namely:—

“(dd) Homoeopathic medicines include any drug which is recorded in Homoeopathic provings of therapeutic efficacy of which has been established through long clinical experience as recorded in authoritative Homoeopathic literature of India and abroad and which is prepared according to the techniques of Homoeopathic pharmacy and covers combination of ingredients of such Homoeopathic medicines but does not include a medicine which is administered by parenteral route.”

3. In rule 67-G of the said rules, after condition (4), the following condition shall be inserted, namely:—

“(5) The licensee in Form 20-C shall maintain records of purchase and sale of Homoeopathic medicines containing alcohol. No records of sale in respect of Homoeopathic potentised preparation in containers of 30 ml. or lower capacity and in respect of mother tinctures made up in quantities upto 60 ml. need be maintained.”

4. In rule 85 B of the said rules, in sub-rule (2), the following sentence shall be added at the end, namely:—

“Application for licence to manufacture potentised preparation from back potencies by Pharmacies who are already licensed to sell Homoeopathic medicines by retail shall also be made in Form 24-C and such application shall be accompanied by a fee of rupees twenty.”

5. For rule 85-D of the said rules, the following rule shall be substituted, namely:—

“85-D. *Form of licence to manufacture Homoeopathic medicines.*—Licence for manufacture of Homoeopathic medicines as a licence to manufacture potentised preparations from back potencies by Pharmacies who are already licensed to sell Homoeopathic medicines by retail shall be granted in Form 25-C.”

6. In rule 85-E, of the said rules,

(i) for condition (2), the following condition shall be substituted, namely:—

“(2) The factory premises shall be clean and the manufacture shall be carried out under hygienic conditions.”

(ii) after condition (6) the following proviso shall be inserted, namely:—

“Provided that in case potentised preparations are made in a Pharmacy holding licence in Form 20-C, the conditions (2) and (3) shall not apply. The licensee shall ensure to the satisfaction of the Licensing authority that the products manufactured by it, conform to the claims made on the label.”

7. In rule 106-A of the said rules, for clause (iv) the following clause shall be substituted, namely:—

“(iv) Name and address of the manufacturer when sold in original containers of the manufacturer—In case of Homoeopathic medicine is sold in a container other than that of the manufacturer—the name and address of the seller.”

8. In Form 20-C of the said rules, after conditions (3), the following condition shall be inserted, namely:—

“(4) This licence authorises the sale of Homoeopathic medicines made from one earlier potency upto a quantity of 30 ml. at a time.”

9. For Form 24-C of the said rules, the following Form shall be substituted, namely:—

“Form 24-C

(See Rule 85-B)

Application for the grant or renewal of a licence to manufacture for sale of Homoeopathic medicines/or a licence to manufacture potentised preparations from back potencies by licensees holding licence in Form 20-C.

1. I/We.....holder of licence No..... In Form 20-C hereby apply for the grant/renewal of licence to manufacture Homoeopathic mother tinctures/potentised preparations on the premises situated at.....
2. Names, qualifications and experience of technical staff employed for manufacture and testing of Homoeopathic medicines.
3. A fee of rupees has been credited to Government under head of account.....
- Date..... Signature.....

NOTE 1. Delete whichever portion is not applicable.

2. The application should be accompanied by a plan of the premises
10. In Form 25-C of the said rules,

(i) for the para at item 1, the following shall be substituted, namely:—
“I.....who holds a licence in Form 20-C is hereby licensed to manufacture Homoeopathic mother tinctures/potentised preparations on the premises situated at.....under the direction and supervision of the following technical staff.”
(ii) at the end, below the lines, the following shall be added, namely:—
“Delete the words ‘who holds a licence in Form 20-C’ in case this is not applicable.”

[No. F.1-59/68-D.]

L. K. MURTHY, Under Secy.

(Department of Works, Housing and U.D.)

(Works Division)

New Delhi, the 17th November 1969.

S.O. 4817.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 4 of the Rajghat Samadhi Act, 1951 (41 of 1951), the Central Government hereby nominates Shri Kaka Kalekar to be a member of the Rajghat Samadhi Committee vide Shrimati Lakshmi Devdas Gandhi, and makes the following further amendments in the notification of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Works, Housing and Supply No. 19/2/62-WI dated the 22nd August 1962, namely:

In the said notification, against item 4, the following entry shall be inserted, namely:—

“Shri Kaka Kalekar, New Delhi.”

[No. 25012(3)/66-WIII.]

R. MEHTA, Dy. Secy.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS

(P. & T. Board)

New Delhi, the 27th November 1969

S.O. 4818.—In pursuance of para (a) of section III of Rule 434 of Indian Telegraph Rules, 1951, as introduced by S.O. No. 627 dated 8th March, 1960, the Director General, Posts and Telegraphs, hereby specifies the 16th December, 1969 as the date on which the Measured Rate System will be introduced in CHANGANASSERY Telephone Exchange, Kerala Circle.

[No. 5-50/69-PHB(5).]

D. R. BAIIL,

Assistant Director General (PHB).

संचार विभाग

(डाक-सार बोर्ड)

नई दिल्ली 27 नवम्बर 1969

एस० ओ० 4818.—स्थायी आदेश क्रमसंख्या 627, दिनांक 8 मार्च, 1960 द्वारा लागू किये गए 1951 के भारतीय तार नियमों के नियम 43 के खण्ड III के पैरा (क) के अनुसार डाक तार महानिदेशक ने चंगानासरी टैलीफोन केन्द्र में 16-12-69 से प्रमापित दर प्रणाली लागू करने का निश्चय किया है।

[सं० 5-50/69 फी० एच० बी० (5)

डी० आर० बहल,

सहायक महानिदेशक (फी० एच० बी०)

MINISTRY OF FOREIGN TRADE AND SUPPLY

(Office of the Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 4th November 1969

S.O. 4819.—M/s. Res Chemol Pvt. Ltd., 14, Nurmull Lohia Lane, Calcutta 7 were granted licence No. P/D/2166386/C/XX/29/H/27-30 dated 9th December, 1968, from General Area for import of Raw materials/components valued at Rs. 20,000/- they have requested for the issue of duplicate Custom, copy of the licence on the ground that the original customs purposes copy of the licence has been lost/misplaced by them. It has been further reported by the licensee that the licence was lost after utilising Rs. 10,687.50. The licence has been registered with Collector of Customs, Calcutta.

In support of their contention the applicant have filed an affidavit. The undersigned is satisfied that the original Customs Purposes Copy of the licence No. 2166386 dated 9th December 1968 has been lost and directs that a duplicate Customs Copy of the said licence should be issued to them. The original Customs Purposes Copy is cancelled. A duplicate copy of the licence is being issued separately.

[No. Paints/1(1)/68-69/R.M./6.]

G. S. SHARMA,

Dy. Chief Controller of Imports and Exports.
for Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

(Office of the Joint Chief Controller of Imports and Exports)

(Central Licensing Area)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 12th November 1969

S.O. 4820.—M/s. Singla Apparatus Manufacturing Co., Garhiharsaru Distt. Gurgaon were granted licence No. P/SS/1612091/C/XX/28/D/25.26 dated 6th September 1968 for Rs. 10,000/- for the import of Acrylic Plastic Moulding Powder and Cellulose Acetate flaks with corresponding quantities of permissible varieties of Plasticizers, Dyestuff and pigments for compounding indigenously by approved manufacturers. They have applied for issue of duplicate copies both of the Customs Purpose copy and Exchange Control copy of the said licence on the ground that original copies have been lost/misplaced without having been registered with any custom authority and without being utilised at all.

2. The applicant has filed an affidavit in support of their statement as required under para. 302 read with appendix-8 of I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules and Procedure 1969. I am satisfied that the original Custom purpose and Exchange Control copies of the licence have been lost/misplaced.

3. In exercise of the powers conferred on me, under section 5(CC) Import (Control) Order, 1955 dated 7th December, 1955. I order the cancellation of licence No. P/SS/1612091/C/XX/28/D/25.26 dated 6th September 1968.

4. The Applicant is now being issued a duplicate copy of the Customs purpose and Exchange Control purpose copy of the licence, in accordance with the provision of para. 302(4) of I.T.C. Hand Book of Rules and Procedure, 1969.

[No. S-93/Har./AM-68/AU-HRH/CLA.]

RAM MURTI SHARMA,

Joint Chief Controller of Imports & Exports.

विदेश अधीकरण और पूति मंत्रालय

आवेदन

नई दिल्ली, 17 सितम्बर, 1969

का० अ० 39 19 :—आवश्यक वस्तुएं अधिनियम 1953 (1953 का 10) की धारा 3 द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार कर गल न (अनुज्ञापन) आदेश, 968 में और आगे संशोधन करने के लिए एतद्वारा निम्नलिखित नियम बनाती है, अर्थात् :—

1. ये आदेश कर गलाने (अनुज्ञापन) संशोधन आदेश, 1969 कहे जा सकेंगे।

2. कर गलाना (अनुज्ञापन) आदेश, 1968 में

(क) धारा 3 के उपखण्ड (5) के स्थान पर निम्नलिखित उपधारायें प्रतिस्थापित की जाएंगी, अर्थात् :—

“(5) अनुज्ञित या अनुज्ञा जारी करने के सम्बन्ध में आवेदन प्राप्त होने पर अनुज्ञापन अधिकारी ऐसी जांच, यदि कोई हो जो वह आवश्यक समझता है करने के पश्चात् लिखित रूप में आदेश द्वारा या तो प्ररूप 1-क में अनुज्ञित या अनुज्ञा अनुदत्त कर सकेगा या उसके लिए आवेदन को नामंजूर कर सकेगा :

परन्तु अनुज्ञित या अनुज्ञा जारी करने के लिए कोई भी आवेदन तब तक नामंजूर नहीं किया जाएगा जब तक कि आवेदन को ऐसी नामंजूरी के विरुद्ध हेतुक दर्शित करने का युक्तियुक्त अवसर न दे दिया गया हो। परन्तु यह और भी कि आदेश की एक प्रति आवेदक को भेजी जाएगी।

(6) जहां कि इस आदेश के अधीन अनुदत्त अनुज्ञित खो जाती है या नष्ट हो जाती है वहां अनुज्ञापन अधिकारी ऐसी जांच, यदि कोई हो जो वह आवश्यक समझता है करने के पश्चात् 5 रु 50 की फीस या अनुज्ञित जारी करने के लिए उदगृहीत फीस इन दोनों में जो भी कम हो सदस्त किए जाने पर दूसरी प्रति जारी कर सकेगा।

(7) इस आदेश के अधीन अनुदत्त अनुन्तरणीय नहीं होगी। अनुज्ञितधारी की मूल्य की दशा में वारिस यदि कोई हो तो वे अनुज्ञापन अधिकारी को नई अनुज्ञित के लिए आवेदन करेगा और अनुज्ञान अधिकारी ऐसी जांच यदि कोई हो जो वह आवश्यक समझता है करने के पश्चात् अनुज्ञित या अनुज्ञा अनुदत्त कर सकेगा या उसके लिए आवेदन को नामंजूर कर सकेगा।

परन्तु इस प्रकार का कोई आवेदन तब तक नामंजूर नहीं किया जाएगा जब तक कि आवेदक को ऐसी नामंजूरी के विरुद्ध हेतुक दर्शित करने का युक्त युक्त अवसर न दे दिया गया हो।

(8) इस आदेश के अधीन अनुदत्त अनुज्ञित एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए विधिमान्य होगी किन्तु आवेदन किए जाने पर उसका नवीकरण एक वर्ष की कालावधि के लिए किया जा सकेगा।

(9) अनुज्ञप्ति के नवीकरण के लिए आवेदन प्रूफ I—ख में होगा और वह अनुज्ञप्ति समाप्त होने की तारीख के 30 दिन पूर्व किया जाएगा और पहले अनुदत्त की गई अनुज्ञप्ति इसके साथ संलग्न होगी।

परन्तु यदि अनुज्ञापन अधिकारी संतुष्ट हो जाता है कि समय पर आवेदन न करने का पर्याप्त कारण था तो वह उस आवेदन को जो समय पर नहीं किया गया है ग्रहण कर सकेगा :

(10) प्रत्येक अनुज्ञप्ति के नवीकरण के लिए आवेदन के साथ फीस उसी दर से होगी जिस दर से अनुज्ञप्ति अनुदत्त करने के लिए वह उद्दृग्हीत की जाती है और ऐसे आवेदन पर उपधारा (5) में यथा उपबन्धित कार्यवाही की जाएगी।

(11) यदि अनुज्ञप्तिधारी गलाने का श्रेय घटानाया बढ़ाना चाहता है तो अनुज्ञप्ति के नवीकरण के समय उसकी इतिला अनुज्ञापन अधिकारी को लिखित रूप में देनी चाहिए और अनुज्ञापन अधिकारी ऐसी जांच यदि कोई हो जो वह आवश्यक समझता है करने के पश्चात् अनुदत्त अनुज्ञप्ति में संशोधन कर देगा।

(ख) प्रूफ I के पश्चात् निम्नलिखित प्रूफ अन्तः स्थापित किये जाएंगे, अर्थात् :—

फार्म I—क

क्यर गलाना (अनुज्ञापन) आदेश, 1968

[धारा 3(5) देखिए]

अनुज्ञप्ति/अनुज्ञा

1. जारी की गई अनुज्ञप्ति की संख्या और उसकी तारीख
2. (i) अनुज्ञप्तिधारी का पूरा नाम
(ii) पूरा पता
3. भूसी गलाने के लिए जिस क्षेत्र के लिए अनुज्ञप्ति दी गई है उसकी विविधियाँ (इलाका, सर्वेक्षण संख्या, वर्गमीटर में क्षेत्रफल आदि)
4. भूसी की प्रसमान्य मात्रा जो गलाई जा सकती है।

रेटिंग के लिए अनुज्ञप्ति की जांच

1. क्यर गलाना (अनुज्ञापन) आदेश, 1968 के उपबन्धों के प्रध्याधीन गलाने के लिए अनुज्ञप्ति जारी की जाती है।
2. प्रमाणपत्र धारक गलाने के लिए अनुज्ञप्ति जगहों को छोड़ अन्य जगहों में न तो क्यर गलाएगा और न ही क्यर गलवाएगा।
3. अनुज्ञप्तिधारी ऐसे अभिलेखा सही तौर से और पूरी तरह से रखेगा जैसे इस आदेश के प्रधीन अनुज्ञापन अधिकारी द्वारा विहित किए जाएं।

4. अनुज्ञितधारी व्यापार सम्बन्धी ऐसे विवरण सही तौर से और पूरी तरह से पेश करेगा जैसे इस आदेश में विनिर्दिष्ट किए जाएं।
5. अनुज्ञितधारी राजकीय सरकार के उस अधिकारी को जिसे अनुज्ञापन अधिकारी ने प्राधिकृत किया है उस जगह का निरीक्षण करने की अनुज्ञा देगा जहाँ क्यर गलाए गए हैं, जिन वस्तुओं पर यह आदेश लागू होता है उनके नमूने पेश करेगा, इस अधिकारी के कहने पर उसके कब्जे में होने वाले या उसके नियंत्रण में होने वाले सभी अभिलेख या दरताबेज पेश करेगा और किसी भी परिसर में घुसने या वहाँ तलाशी करने की ओर जिस वस्तु पर यह आदेश लागू होता है उसके अभिग्रहण करने की अनुमति देगा।

प्रारूप . 1--ख

क्यर गलाना (अनुज्ञापन) आदेश, 1968

[धारा 3(9)देखिए]

गलाने के लिए अनुज्ञित के नवीकरण के लिए आवेदन का प्रारूप ।

1. अनुज्ञितधारी का नाम
2. पूरा पता
3. गालने की जगह के विशिष्टियाँ
(सर्वेक्षण संलग्न, ग्राम तालुक, बर्गमीटर में क्षेत्रफल आदि)
4. अनुदत्त अनुज्ञित की संख्या और उसकी तारीख
5. क्या अनुज्ञित साथ लगी है ?

स्थान,

अनुज्ञितधारी के हस्ताक्षर

तारीख

[सं० 23 (8)-ऐक्स (डी)/68]

ए० जी० बी० मुद्रामनीयम, अवर सचिव ।

MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING

New Delhi, the 4th November 1969

S.O. 4821.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 2 of rule 9 of Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958 the Central Government hereby re-appoints Smt. R. Shamanta as a member of the Advisory Panel of the Central Board of Film Censors at Madras with immediate effect upto 31st December, 1969.

[No. 11/10/69-F(C).]

सूचना और प्रसारण भवालय

नई दिल्ली, तारीख 4 नवम्बर, 1969

एस० ओ० 4821:—चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5(1) और अलांचल (सेसर) नियमावली, 1958 के नियम 9 के उप नियम 2 के साथ पठित नियम 8 के उप नियम (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए, केन्द्रीय सरकार ने केन्द्रीय फिल्म सेसर बोर्ड से परामर्श करके एतद्वारा श्रीमती आरा श मन्ता को अभी से 31 दिसम्बर, 1969 तक उक्त बोर्ड के मद्रास सलाहकार की सदस्या फिर से नियुक्त की है।

(फाइल संख्या 11/10/69-एफ. सी.)

New Delhi, the 5th November 1969

S.O. 4822.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5(1) of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 and sub-rule (3) of rule 8 read with sub-rule 2 of rule 9 of the Cinematograph (Censorship) Rules, 1958, the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Bhogilal Chimanlal Shah after consultation with Central Board of Film Censors, as a member of the Advisory Panel of the said Board at Bombay with immediate effect, upto 31st December, 1969.

[No. 11/12/69-F(C).]

VIRENDRA D. VYAS, Dy. Secy.

नई दिल्ली, तारीख 5 नवम्बर, 1969

एस० ओ० 4822:—चलचित्र अधिनियम, 1952 की धारा 5(1) और चलचित्र (सेसर) नियमावली, 1958 के नियम 9 के उप नियम 2 के साथ पठित नियम 8 के उप नियम (3) द्वारा प्रदत्त अधिकारों का प्रयोग करते हुए केन्द्रीय सरकार ने केन्द्रीय फिल्म सेसर बोर्ड से परामर्श करके एतद्वारा श्री भोगी लाल चिमन लाल शाह को उसी से 31 दिसम्बर, 1969 तक उक्त बोर्ड के बम्बई सलाहकार मण्डल का सदस्य नियुक्त किया है।

(फाइल संख्या 11/12/69-एफ. सी.)

वीरेन्द्र देव व्यास, उप सचिव।

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 28th November 1969

S.O. 4823.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in all its language versions to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section (4) of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XI of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

S. No.	Title of the film	Length 35mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a Scientific film or a film intended for educational pur- poses or a film dealing with news and Current events or a documen- tary film	6
1	2	3	4	5		6
I	Maharashtra News No. 209 (Marathi & Hindi).	304.19 M.	Director of Publicity, Govt. of Maharashtra, Film Centre, 68-Tardeo Road, Bombay-34.	Film dealing with news and current events and (For release in Maharashtra Circuit only).		

[No. F. 24/1/69-FP. App. 1404.]

S.O. 4824.—In pursuance of the directions issued under the provisions of each of the enactments specified in the First Schedule annexed hereto, the Central Government after considering the recommendations of the Film Advisory Board, Bombay hereby approves the film specified in column 2 of the Second Schedule annexed hereto in Gujarat to be of the description specified against it in column 6 of the said Second Schedule.

THE FIRST SCHEDULE

- (1) Sub-Section 4 of the Section 12 and Section 16 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952).
- (2) Sub-Section (3) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Bombay Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Bombay Act XVII of 1953).
- (3) Sub-Section (4) of Section 5 and Section 9 of the Saurashtra Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1953 (Saurashtra Act XVII of 1953).

THE SECOND SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Title of the film	Length 35 mm	Name of the Applicant	Name of the Producer	Whether a Scientific film or a film intended for educational purposes or a film dealing with news and current events or a documentary film
1	2	3	4	5	6
I	Mahitichitra No. 114.	257·55M	Director of Information Govt. of Gujarat, Sachivalya Ahmedabad-5.	Film dealing with news and current events (For release in Gujarat Circuit only)	

[No. F. 24/1/69-FP. App. 1405.]

K. K. KHAN, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF LABOUR, EMPLOYMENT AND REHABILITATION

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 25th November 1969

S.O. 4825.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Calendonian Insurance Company Limited, New Delhi and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 20th November, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, DELHI PRESENT:

Shri R. K. Baweja, Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi.

1st August, 1969/10th Sawan 1891(S)

CG.I.D. No. 6 OF 1969

BETWEEN

The employers in relation to the management of Calendonian Insurance Company, Limited, 50, Janpath, New Delhi,

Their workmen.

Shri D. Sen Gupta—for the management.

Shri Madan Mohan—for the workmen.

AWARD

Vide Order No. 25/11/68-LRIII, dated the 12th of July, 1968, the Central Government, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred the following industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the management of Calendonian Insur-

urice Company Ltd., 50, Janpath, New Delhi and their workmen for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal presided over by Shri Ishwar Das Pawar with headquarters at Chandigarh. Subsequently, it was withdrawn from that Tribunal and transferred to this Tribunal vide Order No. 25/11/68-LRIII, dated the 19th of June, 1969:

"Whether the following demands of the General Insurance Employees Association Northern Zone, New Delhi, for the peons and drivers of the Calendonian Insurance Company, New Delhi, are justified? If so, to what relief are the workmen entitled and from what date?

1. Free Medical aid for the workmen and their dependents.
2. The Period of probation should be three months."

2. When the case proceeded before me from the stage at which it was transferred, Shri Madan Mohan, learned representative of the workmen made a statement that the matters covered by the reference were settled under a settlement award, dated 16th July, 1968, which was published in the Gazette on the 24th of August, 1968 and that in view of that award the reference had become infructuous. To the same effect is the statement of Shri D. Sen Gupta, who appeared on behalf of the management. I, therefore, pass an award that the reference has become infructuous.

(Two pages)

1st August, 1969.

(Sd.) R. K. BAWEJA,

Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Delhi.

[No. F. 25/11/68-LRIII (LRI).]

New Delhi, the 28th November 1969

S.O. 4826.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 39 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby directs that the powers of the appropriate Government exercisable by it under the said Act, shall, in respect of industrial disputes in relation to the Muir Mills Company Limited, Kanpur, which is presently under the management of an authorised controller appointed under section 18A of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (Act 65 of 1951), be exercisable also by the State Government of Uttar Pradesh.

[No. F. 1/64/69-LR-I.]

S.O. 4827.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 25th November, 1969.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA.

REFERENCE NO. 60 OF 1969

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENTS:

Shri B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers—Sri M. S. Bala.

On behalf of Workmen—Sri A. D. Singh.

STATE: West Bengal

INDUSTRY: Banking.

AWARD

By Order No. 23/38/69/LRIII dated August 5, 1969, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred an industrial dispute between the employers in relation

to the National & Grindlays Bank Limited and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the action of the management of the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta, in refusing to pay to the following 24 workmen of the Bank for the period of token strikes on 1st, 3rd, 5th, 7th and 20th August, 1968 was justified? If not, to what relief are they entitled?

1. Shri Radhe Shyam Tripathi
2. Shri Ghanshyam Tewari
3. Shri Radhe Shyam Lal
4. Shri Dukh Chhore Bari
5. Shri Babban Bania
6. Shri Prasad Singh
7. Shri Ram Deo Tewari
8. Shri Rameshwar Bania
9. Shri Raja Ram Singh
10. Shri Ram Adhar Singh
11. Shri Ram Harakh Choubey
12. Sri Ram Singh
13. Shri Satya Narayan Singh
14. Shri Harihar Tewari
15. Shri Banke Behari Lal
16. Shri Ram Kripal Pandey (2)
17. Shri Kedarnath Pandey
18. Shri Raghunandan Prasad
19. Shri Benipal Singh
20. Shri Gouri Shankar (1)
21. Shri Kripa Shankar
22. Shri Mahabir Ram
23. Shri Ganga Prasad Bania
24. Shri Jagdish Pandey."

2. It is admitted before me that the dispute between Shri Dukh Chhore Bari (No. 4), Sri Ram Harakh Choubey (No. 11) and Sri Ram Singh (No. 12) and the employers now stands settled, and that Ram Dco Tewari (No. 7) being dead is out of the picture by reason of that. With these four gone, the dispute is now between the remaining 20 workmen and the employers.

3. It is undisputed before me that there were token strikes for one hour on the 1st, for 15 minutes on the 3rd, for one hour on the 5th, for 3 hours on the 7th and for 2 hours on the 20th of August, 1968, all immediately after 10 A.M. It is further undisputed before me that the total period of strike on the 5 days came up to 7 hours 15 minutes. It is also undisputed before me that the management merely deducted wages for 7 hours 15 minutes in all out of the monthly salary of the above named workmen in the month of August, 1968 on account of the above illegal strikes.

4. It is not the case of the workmen that they would be entitled to wages even if they had gone on illegal strike. Their case is that the strike was sponsored by a trade Union known as the National & Grindlays Bank Employees' Union and the members of that union only, which control the majority of the employees, went on strike. The concerned employees belong to a rival trade Union known as National and Grindlays Bank Staff Union. They did not join the strikers but faithfully and loyally remained at their respective posts of duties. The concerned employees are all Darwans in the Cash department of the Bank. This is also an admitted fact. Therefore, the dispute before me centres round a short point, namely, whether the concerned employees at all joined the strike.

5. There is no documentary evidence either in support or against the respective cases pleaded by the parties. One C.R.R. Stewart who was in charge of the Cash

department at the material time gave evidence on behalf of the employers. He said in his examination in chief:

"To the best of knowledge there was no workman present during the periods of strike inside the Cash Department. No work was done during that period by any workman. (Shown the Order of Reference). The names mentioned in the Schedule to the Order of reference are the names of workmen of the Cash Department. To the best of my memory they were not working on the days and periods of strike. None of these 24 workmen approached me stating that they had nothing to do in the department."

In his cross-examination he stated:

"During the strike period the officers and the Supervisors were present in work. I cannot tell you whether Head Cashier was present. Apart from the date of strike on the 1st of August, no work was done during the period of strike on subsequent days. I cannot tell you what exactly we did on the 1st day of the strike but we attempted to start work. When I say we, I mean the officers..... I do not remember whether payment was made to customers across the counter on the 1st of August 1968."

6. Four witnesses were examined on behalf of the workmen, namely, Ghanshyam Tewari, Ram Adhar Singh, Raja Ram Singh and Radhesham Tripathi. Ghanshyam Tewari was on leave on most days of the strike excepting on the 20th when the strike lasted for 2 hours. The sum total of the evidence, that I gather from them, may be summarised as follows:

- (a) Their attendance hour is quarter to ten.
- (b) Their first duty is to bring out the cash boxes from Cash Safes, which duty they duly performed even on days of strikes.
- (c) The strikes started at 10 O'clock and they had no work to do.
- (d) Ram Adhar Singh said that he sat on the bench outside during the period of strike. Others said that they remained in the Cash department.
- (e) After the strike was over, when others came back, they got work to do and started their duties.
- (f) Ghanshyam Tewari denied Stewart's evidence that his duty was to remain on gate duty after having brought out the cash boxes from the Cash Safes.

I have to draw my conclusions from this sort of oral evidence. There is no dispute that no work transacted during the period of strike. The strike was admittedly not a sit down strike but the strikers went out and carried demonstration outside. I find it hard to believe that the non-strikers sat stoically inside and did not either stand at the doors or windows or on the footpath outside, witnessing the demonstration. Therefore Stewart may be right in his evidence that he did not find anybody within the Cash department enclosure.

7. It was strongly argued before me by Mr. A. D. Sing, on behalf of the workmen, that if the non-striking workmen had nothing to do within the Cash department enclosure they should not have been penalised for not having worked at all. He further argued that it was not the duty of the darwans to beg for work but it was the duty of the authorities to order them about. There is some force in the contentions. Stewart's evidence is that on the first day some work was attempted to be done but no work was done on the other days. That means even the officers sat idle. Thus, if I believe in the evidence of the workmen that they did attend but sat idle because they were not provided with any work, then I have to answer this reference in favour of the workmen. If, on the other hand, I believe in the evidence of Stewart that the workmen named in the Schedule also joined in the strike, then I have to decide the reference against the workmen.

8. It is the admitted case of both parties that no aid can be had from the Attendance Register. People went away after putting their initials on the Attendance Register. It is also the admitted case that after the short periods of strikes, everybody continued their work. The strikes were only 'Cat Strikes' of short duration. In this particular instance, faced with this type of evidence, I am prepared to proceed on the basis of the evidence of the workmen for the following reasons:

- (a) Stewart's evidence taken at the highest goes to show that nobody was present inside the Cash department.

- (b) He infers therefrom that the concerned workmen must have also joined the strike.
- (c) He could not prove by satisfactory evidence that the concerned workmen had plenty to do even in the absence of the strikers and they were not even available on call.
- (d) It may just be that the concerned workmen did not join the strike but having nothing to do they were idling away their time by witnessing the 'Tamasha' going on outside.

9. In my opinion, therefore, the fact that the concerned workmen joined in the strike have not been fully proved. Therefore the action of the management of the National and Grindlays Bank Limited, Calcutta, in refusing to pay to the workmen their wages for the alleged strike periods was not justified. The workmen, excepting No. 4, 7, 11 and 12 are, therefore, entitled to wages for 7 hours and 15 minutes deducted from their pay for August, 1968.

This is my award.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,

Presiding Officer.

[No. 23/38/69/LRIII.]

S.O. 4828.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Hyderabad, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Vysya Bank Limited, Bangalore and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 19th November, 1969.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (CENTRAL) AT HYDERABAD

PRESENT:

Shri Mohammad Najmuddin, M.A., B.L., Chairman, Industrial Tribunal, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

INDUSTRIAL DISPUTE NO. 54 OF 1968

BETWEEN

Workmen of Vysya Bank Ltd., Bangalore.

AND

Employers of Vysya Bank Ltd., Bangalore.

APPEARANCES:

Messrs. A. Sunderarao and P. Vivekanandarao—for Workmen.

Shri K. Srinivasamurthy—for Employers.

AWARD

The Government of India in its Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour & Employment) had, by Order No. F23/73/68-LRIII dated 12th November, 1968, referred this dispute to me for adjudication. The issues as per schedule to the Notification are these:—

- (1) Having in view paragraphs 5.13 and 22.2(b) of the bi-partite settlement dated 19th October, 1966 between the Management of the Banks as represented by the Indian Banks Association, Bombay, and their workmen as represented by the All India Bank Employees Association and All India Bank Employees Federation, whether the Management of Vysya Bank Ltd. was justified in denying daftari allowance to Shri B. Venkataswamy, Subordinate Staff of the Siddiambar Bazar Branch of Hyderabad? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?
 - (2) Whether the Management of Vysya Bank Ltd. was justified in denying arrears of cash peon allowance from 1st July 1966 to 5th March 1968 to Shri B. Yadgir, Subordinate Staff in the Secunderabad Branch of the Bank? If not, to what relief is the workmen entitled?
2. The employer in this case is the Vysya Bank Ltd. It is party to the reference. The Andhra Pradesh Bank Employees Federation, Hyderabad, is party to the reference. The Vysya Bank Employees Union is affiliated to the Andhra Pradesh Bank Employees Federation. There is a memorandum of settlement dated 19th

October, 1968 between the Indian Banks Association on the one side and the All India Bank Employees Association and the All India Bank Employees Federation on the other. That settlement had modified certain provisions of the Desai Award governing conditions of service which in turn had modified certain provisions of the earlier award known as the Sastry Award. The Vysya Bank which is a Class C Bank is a member of the Indian Banks Association which is party to the settlement dated 19th October, 1966. That settlement was consummated at Bangalore by the good offices of the Chief Labour Commissioner (Central). The Head Office of the Vysya Bank is at Bangalore. In this case we are concerned with the special allowance provided by the settlement under mention payable to peons who perform daftari duties and to cash peons. The former is called daftari allowance and the latter is called cash peon allowance. The Vysya Bank has branches in the twin cities here. One branch is at Secunderabad and another is at Siddiambar Bazar, Hyderabad. Issue 1 raises the question whether having regard to paragraphs 5.13 and 22.2(b) of the bi-partite settlement dated 19th October 1966, the Management was justified in denying daftari allowance to B. Venkataswamy employed at the Siddiambar Bazar Branch. The issue does not make clear about the period during which the daftari allowance was said to be denied to Venkataswamy. The facts bearing on this question have however been made clear in the pleadings filed by the parties to this reference. Issue 2 raises the question whether the Management of the Vysya Bank should have paid cash allowance from 1st July 1966 to 5th March 1968 to B. Yadgir employed in the Secunderabad Branch.

3. The statement of claims was filed by and under the signature of Mr. A. Sunderarao who is the General Secretary of the Andhra Pradesh Bank Employees Federation. It is provided in the bi-partite settlement dated 19th October, 1966 that in the instance it was payable, daftari allowance or the cash peon allowance should be paid from 1st July, 1966. In Class C Banks daftari allowance is Rs. 10 per month and cash peon allowance is Rs. 4 per month. It is stated in the statement of claims that Venataswamy was doing daftari duties at the Siddiambar Bazar branch of the Vysya Bank upto 31st January, 1967 and that for that reason the Management had paid to him arrears of daftari allowance at the rate of Rs. 10 per month for the period from 1st July 1966 to 31st January 1967. It is complained that commencing from 1st February, 1967 the Management had withdrawn daftari duties from Venataswamy and assigned them to one P. K. Nanja Gupta. It is pointed out that the Management had no right to do that thereby depriving Venkataswamy of daftari allowance from onward 1st February, 1967. Attention is drawn to paragraph 5.13 of the bi-partite settlement which enables the Banks to reallocate duties of any workman. Paragraph 5.13 further provides as follows:—

Where for the first time a special allowance provided for in this settlement is introduced in an office, in re-allocating the duties preference will be given from among those who are already performing the appropriate duties.

That being so, it is submitted that the Management was not justified in withdrawing the daftari duties from Venkataswamy from onward 1st February, 1967 so as to deprive him of daftari allowance from onward that date. It is therefore prayed that daftari duties should be restored to Venkataswamy and that the Management be directed to pay him daftari allowance of Rs. 10 per month from onward 1st February, 1967. It may be stated that admittedly it was not Venkataswamy who was doing daftari duties from onward 1st February, 1967 but that it was Nanja Gupta.

4. As per issue 2 the claim on behalf of Yadgir is that he should be paid cash peon allowance from 1st July 1966 to 5th March 1968. He is on the Subordinate Staff in the Secunderabad Branch of the Vysya Bank. Prior to the 1st week of March, 1968 it was one Krishnamurthy who was discharging the triple functions of daftari, cash duties and bill collection. Attention is drawn to paragraph 20.1 of the bi-partite settlement. The provision in that paragraph is that the Management should not entrust to a workman more than two kinds of duties involving payment of special allowance. It is stated that on representations made through the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central), the Management had by order dated 3rd March, 1968 entrusted cash peon duties to Yadgir. It is claimed that even prior to such entrustment and from onward 1st July, 1966 Yadgir was performing cash peon duties and therefore he was entitled to cash peon allowance. It is therefore prayed that the Management be directed to pay cash peon allowance of Rs. 4 per month from 1st July, 1966 to 5th March 1968 to Yadgir.

5. The Management filed counter. Referring to issue 1, it is stated that no one member of the subordinate staff at the Siddiambar Bazar Branch was specifically doing daftari duties and that therefore it was not possible to pay arrears of

daftari allowance to any one particular member of the subordinate staff. It is added that for that reason the claim in that behalf was resisted. But however a settlement, dated 11th October, 1967, under section 12(3) of the I.D. Act was arrived at between the parties. Clause 2 therein provides that the union would furnish a list of peons who had performed any or all of the duties of Daftary who would be paid arrears or daftary allowance with effect from 1st July, 1966. The said clause further provides that the said arrears would be paid *pro rata* to one or more peons who had performed one or more duties of the daftary from 1st July, 1966. The above said provision is referred to in the counter. It is stated that the Union had furnished the name of Venkataswamy as being the peon to whom all of the arrears of daftary allowance should be paid. The bank had accordingly paid to Venkataswamy arrears of daftary allowance from 1st July, 1966 to 31st January, 1967. It will be noted that the fact of this payment is admitted in the claims statement itself. The claim of Venkataswamy to daftary allowance from onward 1st February, 1967, is denied because it was Nanjagupta who had been performing the duties of daftary from onward that date. Paragraph 5.11 of the bi-partite settlement provides that entrustment of duties to a workman which carry special allowance should be by order in writing. It is stated in the counter that in conformance to that direction the order in writing was issued to Nanjagupta, and that since then he had been performing daftary duties. That being so, it is pointed out that Venkataswamy was not entitled to daftary allowance from onward 1st February, 1967, because he had not been performing daftary duties subsequent to that date.

6. Admittedly it was on 5th March, 1968, that Yadgiri was entrusted with cash peon duties by order in writing. Prior to that date it was one Krishnamurthy who had been entrusted with three special allowance duties, viz., daftary, bill collection and cash peon in the Secunderabad Branch of the Vysya Bank. The case in the statement of claims is that even from onward 1st July, 1966, Yadgiri was performing cash peon duties and that therefore he should be paid cash peon allowance from that date upto the date on which order was issued to him in writing designating him as Cash Peon. That is the basis of the claim under issue 2. The case in the counter filed by the Management is that Yadgiri was not at any time entrusted with cash peon duties prior to 5th March, 1968 and that therefore his claim to cash peon allowance from 1st July, 1966 to 5th March, 1968, was not tenable. Attention is drawn to paragraph 5.6 of the bi-partite settlement which says that in order to be entitled to a special allowance, the appropriate functions should constitute the normal part of the duties and functions performed or discharged by a workman, and that special allowances are not intended to be paid for casual performance or discharge of such duties and functions. It is stated in the counter that "even if he has casually accompanied remittances, that does not give him a right to cash peon allowance for the period during which cash peon duties had not been allotted to him prior to 5th March, 1968." It is therefore stated that Yadgiri was not entitled to cash peon allowance for any period prior to 5th March, 1968.

7. One witness, M.W. 1, was examined as witness for the Management. He is H. R. Prahladaraao, business manager for the three Vysya Bank branches in the twin cities. Two witnesses were examined on behalf of the claimants. W.W. 1, E. Krishna, is clerk at the Siddiambar Bazar Branch of the Vysya Bank. W.W. 2, P. Kondiah, is shroff in the Secunderabad branch of the Vysya Bank. Exs. W1 to W9 were marked on the side of the claimants. Ex. M1 is the only document marked on the side of the employer. It is the remittance register. Ex. W 1 dated 19th October, 1968 is the Bipartite Settlement. Ex W8 dated 11th October, 1967, is the Settlement under Section 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act. I have referred to these two documents in the extract of pleadings. I heard arguments of Mr. A. Sundera Rao for the claimants. He is General Secretary of the Andhra Pradesh Bank Employees Federation. I heard arguments of Mr. K. Srinivasamurthy for the Management. Exs. W2 to W7 and W9 is correspondence between the parties.

8. Issue 1.—The bi-partite settlement referred to in this issue is Ex. W1, dated 19th October, 1968. The question posed in this issue would seem to suggest that the Management had wholly denied payment of daftari allowance to Venkataswamy who is on the Subordinate Staff of the Siddiambar Bazar branch of the Vysya Bank. But it is stated in the claims statement itself that the Management had paid him daftary allowance for the period from 1st July, 1966 to 31st January, 1967. This fact is admitted by W.W.1 who is President of the Vysya Bank Employees Union. He is a clerk in the Siddiambar Bazar branch. It would appear that daftari duties in the Siddiambar Bazar branch were not

being performed by any one particular member of the Subordinate Staff there prior to 31st January, 1967, although it would appear that for a fair portion of that period Venkataswamy was doing daftari duties. Ex. W8, dated 12th October, 1967, is Settlement under Section 12(3) of the I.D. Act between the Vysya Bank and the Vysya Bank Employees Union. This settlement was necessitated because the Management would seem to have been not quite clear in respect of implementing some of the recommendations in the Bipartite Settlement Ex. W1 dated 19th October, 1966. Clause 2 in the subsequent settlement Ex. W8 provides as follows:—

It is agreed that the Union would furnish a list of peons who had performed any or all of the duties of daftari who would be paid arrears of daftari allowance with effect from 1st July, 1966. The arrears in this connection, at the rate of one allowance per branch, will be paid *pro rata* to one or more peons who had performed one or more duties of the daftari from 1st July, 1966.

The Union had, in accordance with the above clause, given the name of only Venkataswamy who should be paid arrears of daftari allowance. This is admitted by W.W.1 in cross-examination. Thereupon the Management had paid to Venkataswamy arrears of daftari allowance for the period from 1st July, 1966 to 31st January, 1967. The reason for paying such arrears to Venkataswamy upto only 31st January, 1967, was that the Management had entrusted in writing the daftari duties to one Nanja Gupta as from 1st February, 1967.

9. The claim on behalf of Venkataswamy as per issue 1 should be deemed to be that he should have been continued as daftari peon and thus have been paid daftari allowance from onward 1st February, 1967. That is the claim as per the statement of claims. The further question would therefore be whether the Management was justified in designating Nanja Gupta as daftari peon from onward 1st February, 1967, instead of designating Venkataswamy as such. If Venkataswamy alone was doing daftari duties upto 31st January, 1967, then it would not be proper on the part of the Management to induct Nanja Gupta as daftari peon from onward 1st February, 1967, instead of continuing Venkataswamy himself thereafter. From the option given to the Union as per clause 2 of Ex. W8 as extracted elsewhere, it is clear that others besides Venkataswamy were doing daftari duties and not Venkataswamy alone upto 31st January, 1967. W.W.1, said in cross-examination that prior to 1st February, 1967, there was no office order entrusting daftari duties to any particular person, that prior to that date Venkataswamy was doing that work and that when he went on leave somebody else was doing that work during his absence. He denied the suggestion that it was not Venkataswamy who was mostly doing that work but that it was being done by any one. Although the Union had sponsored Venkataswamy for being paid the whole of the daftari allowance arrears from onward 1st July, 1966, and which the Management had paid upto 31st January, 1967, there is no evidence as to the number of days any of the subordinate staff including Venkataswamy had done daftari duties prior to 1st February, 1967, at the Siddiambar Bazar branch. Admittedly Nanja Gupta had been doing daftari duties at that branch from onward 1st February, 1967. That was even from about eight months prior to the Settlement Ex. W8, dated 11th October, 1967. He was doing that by a written order from the Management. Quite clearly Venkataswamy was not doing daftari duties from onward 1st February, 1967. It is not the case of the Union that Venkataswamy was doing daftari duties from onward 1st February, 1967. As I said, Nanja Gupta had been doing daftari duties by written order even from about eight months prior to the Settlement Ex. W8, dated 11th October, 1967. That settlement does not envisage upsetting an appointment already made. Others besides Venkataswamy had been doing daftari duties prior to 31st January, 1967. It means that any one among the Subordinate Staff who was available was being asked to do daftari duties for the time being. It further means that any one among them including Venkataswamy cannot claim that he alone should be entrusted with daftari duties by a written order. If Venkataswamy alone had been doing daftari duties upto 31st January, 1967, then it would not be right on the part of the Management to deprive him of the same and to appoint another person to do daftari duties from onward 1st February, 1967. But such was not the case, meaning that any one among the Subordinate Staff including Venkataswamy was doing daftari duties for the time being during the period upto 31st January, 1967. Such being the situation, I am of the opinion that the Management could appoint any one of its choice by order in writing to do daftari duties. It had done so from onward 1st February, 1967, and the choice was Nanja Gupta. We are not concerned with the question of who was better qualified, Venkataswamy or Nanja Gupta. The choice lay with the Management, and it is the Management that runs the administration.

9. Having regard to all the facts and circumstances my finding under issue I is that Venkataswamy is not entitled to claim daftari allowance from onward 1st February, 1967.

10. Issue 2.—Yadgiri who is the claimant in this issue is on the Subordinate Staff of the Secunderabad Branch of the Vysya Bank. Admittedly he had been designated in writing as cash peon in that branch from 5th March, 1968. This is admitted by W.W.2 who is Shroff and Cashier in that branch. Yadgiri has been getting cash peon allowance from the above said date. He was not paid any cash peon allowance for any part of the period prior to that date. His claim is that he had been working as cash peon even prior to 5th March, 1968 and that therefore he was entitled to arrears of cash peon allowance from 1st July, 1966 to 5th March, 1968. The question posed in issue 2 is whether the Management was justified in denying arrears of cash peon allowance to Yadgiri from 1st July, 1966 to 5th March, 1968. In other words, the question would be whether Yadgiri alone was doing cash peon duties during the said period. If the answer to that question is in the affirmative, he would be entitled to such arrears. W.W.2 said that Yadgiri alone was doing cash peon duty during that period and that when he went on leave some other peon did that job. I do not think that Yadgiri alone was doing cash peon duty prior to 5th March, 1968. It is stated in the claims statement itself that upto 5th March, 1968 the Management had entrusted to one Krishnamurthy the triple duties of daftari, bill collection and cash peon. Even so, it would appear that in the Secunderabad Branch Yadgiri also was discharging daftari duty and cash peon duty on certain occasions during that period, i.e., during the period prior to 5th March, 1968. It is stated by M.W.1 that the peon whoever was available at the time was asked to do cash peon duty in the Secunderabad Branch. The witness further said that in the said Branch daftari allowance was paid to Yadgiri and Krishnamurthy in the proportion of half and half. As to the period for which daftari allowance in the Secunderabad Branch was so paid to Krishnamurthy and Yadgiri is stated by W.W.2 to be from 1st July, 1966 to 28th February, 1967. Admittedly, accompanying the cash remitting officer is also cash peon duty. It is stated in the counter that it was possible that Yadgiri had accompanied the cash remitting officer on certain occasions but that it was however casual and intermittent, and therefore would not entitle him to any arrears of cash peon allowance. The further case of the Management is that there was no order in writing allotting cash peon duties to Yadgiri prior to 5th March, 1968. Any useful purpose is not served by the Management insisting that there was no written order designating Yadgiri as cash peon prior to 5th March, 1968. There would appear to have been no such order to Krishnamurthy when admittedly he was discharging the three special allowance duties for a certain period as mentioned above. Even so, Yadgiri was allowed to share daftari allowance with Krishnamurthy for the period from 1st July, 1966 to 28th February, 1967. Nothing material would however turn upon there being no written order to Yadgiri in respect of cash peon duties prior to 5th March, 1968, if otherwise he had performed cash peon duty for a fair part of the period prior to 5th March, 1968. Ex. M1 is cash remittance register from 1st July, 1966 to 5th March, 1969. W.W. 2 was allowed to peruse that register, and he stated that it has 262 cash remittance entries during the above said period and that Yadgiri had accompanied the remitting officer on 42 occasions out of the 262 occasions. This analysis is conceded by Mr. Srinivasamurthy for the Management. I would say that the 42 occasions would be a fair proportion of the 262 occasions. That cannot I add to be casual. As urged by Mr. Sunderarao, it would be fair and proper that Yadgiri should be paid cash peon allowance for those 42 days. I had already drawn attention to the fact that Yadgiri had shared daftari allowance with Krishnamurthy for the period mentioned elsewhere.

11. My finding under issue 2 is that Yadgiri is entitled to cash peon allowance for a total of 42 days during the period prior to 5th March, 1968. That shall be calculated and paid to him by the Management, I have already given my finding under issue 1.

AWARD passed accordingly.

Given under my hand and the seal of the Tribunal, this the 7th day of November, 1969.

(Sd.) M. NAJMUDDIN,

Industrial Tribunal.

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE:

Witnesses examined for:

Workmen:—

W.W.1: E. Krishna.

W.W.2: P. Kondiah.

Employers:—

M.W.1: H. R. Prahladrao:

Documents exhibited for Workmen:

- Ex. W1:** Settlement arrived at between the Bank Managements and their workmen on the 19th October, 1966.
- Ex. W2:** Letter, dated 1st April, 1967, by Vysya Bank Employees' Union to the Management to show that the Union has taken up the dispute without any delay.
- Ex. W3:** Letter dated 11th April, 1967, by the Management to E. Krishna, clerk, to show the vindictiveness of the Bank Management against employees.
- Ex. W4:** Letter, dated 19th April, 1967 by the Management to E. Krishna, General Secretary of the Bank Employees union, advising him not to interfere with Bank's administrative work and putting him down for writing to Bank on staff problems.
- Ex. W5:** Letter dated 7th June, 1967, by the Asstt. Labour Commissioner (C) Bangalore to the General Secretary, Vysya Bank Employees Union, Hyderabad, enquiring about implementation of Bipartite Settlement dated 19th October, 1966.
- Ex. W6:** Reminder dated 19th June, 1967 by Asstt. Labour Commissioner (C) Bangalore, to Vysya Bank Employees Union, Hyderabad, enquiring about implementation of Bipartite Settlement dated 19th October, 1966.
- Ex. W7:** Office copy of letter dated 23rd June, 1967 by Vysya Bank Employees Union, Hyderabad, to the Asstt. Commissioner of Labour (C), Bangalore, replying to his letter dated 7th June, 1967.
- Ex. W8:** Copy of Circular dated 12th October, 1967, issued by the Vysya Bank Employees Union, Bangalore, incorporating therein the Memorandum of Settlement entered into on 11th October, 1967, before A.L.C.(C), Bangalore, in respect of payment of Daftari Allowance, etc.
- Ex. W9:** Office copy of A.P. Bank Employees' Federation's letter dated 27th June, 1967, to All India Bank Employees Association, Delhi, requesting them to take up implementation issue with Vysya Bank through Indian Banks Association.

Documents exhibited for Employers:

- Ex. M1:** Cash Remittance Register from 26th August, 1965 to 13th March, 1966.

(Sd.) M. NAJMUDDIN,

Industrial Tribunal

[No. 23/73/68/LRIII.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 28th November 1969

S.O. 4829.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the Punjab National Bank and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand of the workmen for promotion of Shri K. R. Nair a workman of the Rourkela branch of the Punjab National Bank to the post of permanent Teller with effect from the 1st September, 1965 is justified? If so to what relief is the workman entitled?"

[No. 23/12/69-LRIII.]

New Delhi, the 29th November 1969

S.O. 4830.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the S. S. Light Railway Company Limited and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Delhi, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (1) Whether the management of S. S. Light Railway Company Limited was justified in terminating the services of the following workmen from the 5th August, 1969.
 - (1) Shri Charanjeet Lal Bhatia, Fireman Saharanpur.
 - (2) Shri Mohd. Ashiq, Electric Fitter, Saharanpur.
 - (3) Shri Haffizuddin, Blacksmith, Saharanpur.
 - (4) Shri Nathu Ram, Section Head, Superintendent's Office, Saharanpur.
 - (5) Shri A. N. Singh Depot Store Keeper, Saharanpur

If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

- (2) Whether the management of S. S. Light Railway Company Limited was justified in stopping the increments for 3 years of Sarvashri Ram Chander, Clerk and N. C. Banerjee, Fireman? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

[No. 2/32/69/LRIII.]

S. S. SAHASRANAMAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 26th November 1969

S.O. 4831.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour & Employment) No. S.O. 694, dated the 12th February, 1969, the Central Government, having regard to the location of the Diesel Power House owned by the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay in an area in which the provisions of Chapters IV and V of the Act are in force, hereby exempts the said power house from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a further period of one year upto and inclusive of the 4th November, 1970.

[No. F. 6/5/69-HI.]

S.O. 4832.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the location of the Tirumala Tirupathi Dewasthanam Transport Garage Workshop, Tirupathi in an area in which the provisions of Chapter IV and V of the Act are in force hereby exempts the said workshop from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year with effect from the 25th August, 1969.

[No. F. 6(62)/69-HI.]

New Delhi, the 27th November 1969

S.O. 4833.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 73F of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948), the Central Government, having regard to the location of the Cochin Refineries Limited, Cochin, an area where the provisions of Chapter IV and V are not in force hereby exempts the said Refineries from the payment of the employer's special contribution leviable under Chapter VA of the said Act for a period of one year from the date of publication of this notification in the Official Gazette.

[File No. 6(81)/69-HI.]
DALJIT SINGH, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 27th November 1969

S.O. 4834.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 26 of the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 (11 of 1948) and in continuation of the notification of the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment) No. S.O. 4168 dated the 16th November, 1968 the Central Government, having regard to the special regulations that have been framed in respect of the service conditions of employees working in vessels, shore-stations and survey parties under the Calcutta Port Commissioners, hereby directs that subject to the conditions hereinafter specified the provisions of sections 13 and 14 of the said Act shall not apply to the said employees for a further period of one year with effect from the 5th May, 1969.

2. The conditions referred to in Paragraph 1 are the following, namely:—

- (i) the Port Commissioner shall publish the said regulations in a pamphlet form in the English language and in the language or the languages understood by the majority of the employees;
- (ii) before making any amendments to the aforesaid regulations, the Port Commissioners shall inform the employees concerned by notice, to be put up on the notice board of the Office of the Port Commissioner of the proposed amendment and shall consider any suggestions that may be made in respect thereof within twenty days of such notice; and
- (iii) a copy of the pamphlet referred to in condition (i) above and a copy of every amendment thereto shall be supplied to each employee concerned

[No. 8/2/69-LWI(I) WE.]
HANS RAJ CHHABRA, Under Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)

New Delhi, the 27th November 1969

S.O. 4835.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 13th November, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2,
BOMBAY

REFERENCE NO. CGIT-2/19 OF 1968

Employers in relation to Bombay Port Trust, Bombay.
AND
Their Workmen.

PRESENT:

Shri N. K. Vani, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the employers—Shri R. K. Shetty, Dy. Legal Adviser, Bombay Port Trust, Bombay.

For the Workmen—Shri V. H. Joshi, Treasurer of the Bombay Stevedores & Dock Labourers' Union, Bombay-4.

INDUSTRY: Ports and Docks

STATE: Maharashtra.

Bombay, dated the 3rd November 1969

AWARD

By order No. 28(72)/65-LRIV dated 20th August 1966, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947) referred to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay for adjudication an industrial dispute existing between the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay and their workmen in respect of the matter specified in the Schedule mentioned below:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether in view of the nature and quantum of work performed by them and other relevant circumstances, the Khalasese and Nowganees of the Engine House, Hughes Dry Dock, Bombay Port Trust are justified in demanding creation of higher posts by way of promotions avenues. If so, how many posts and of what status?"

Whether the workmen of Engine House and Pumping Station, Hughes Dry Dock, Bombay Port Trust, are justified in demanding a special allowance for four months during the monsoon season in view of the quantum and nature of work for lock-pumping purposes performed by them? If so, at what rate such allowance should be paid and for how many months in the monsoon season?"

2. Later on, by Order No. 22/8/68-LRIII dated 25th November 1968, the Central Government transferred this reference to this Tribunal No. 2 Bombay for adjudication.

3. On 10th April 1969, the President, Bombay Stevedores and Dock Labourers Union, Bombay gave application for allowing 3 weeks' time to file written statement. Time to file statement was accordingly allowed. As the Union failed to file statement, notice was issued calling upon it to file the statement before 23rd August 1969. On 29th August 1969, the President of the Union again gave application for extending time to file written statement. Time was accordingly extended.

4. On 13th October 1969, the General Secretary, Bombay Stevedores and Dock Labourers' Union gave application stating as follows:—

"The above reference is fixed for hearing on Monday, the 13th October, 1969 at 11 A.M. before Your Honour; The Engine House of Hughes Dry Dock, Alexandra Dock is now electrified. Due to electrification the majority of the Indoor Staff were rendered surplus and they were retrenched on 30th September, 1969 and paid their legal dues. As such the employees concerned in the dispute have asked this Union to withdraw the reference. It is thereby prayed that Your Honour be pleased to allow us to withdraw the reference which we hereby do."

5. Shri H. P. Tavadia, on behalf of the Port Trust and Shri V. H. Joshi, Treasurer on behalf of the Union have filed common application stating as follows:

"We agree to the above reference being withdrawn by the Bombay Stevedores and Dock Labourers' Union as requested by them in their application dated the 13th instant and we hereby request your honour to pass the necessary orders."

6. As the General Secretary of the Union, Shri D. M. Tulpule had not appeared before me and as the Treasurer Shri V. H. Joshi has not produced necessary authority, I fixed this case on 1st November 1969 for production of necessary authority.

7. On 1st November 1969, Shri Joshi, Treasurer of the Union did not remain present, nor did he send any authority. Shri R. K. Shetty, Dy. Legal Adviser for the Bombay Port Trust appeared alongwith Shri Osler D'Mello. He contended that it was not necessary for Shri Joshi, Treasurer of the Union to produce authority as the original settlement bore the signature of Shri D. M. Tulpule, General Secretary, Bombay Stevedores and Dock Labourers' Union, Bombay and because the joint application for making this reference to the Tribunal was made to the Government under his signature.

8. In view of this contention I accept the settlement.

9. As the Union does not want to proceed with the case and as it wants to withdraw the demands, the necessary inevitable conclusion is that the dispute raised in the reference no longer exists. Hence I have to reject the demand and pass the following order:—

ORDER

- (i) It is hereby declared that the demands of the Union mentioned in the schedule are rejected.
- (ii) Applications marked annexure 'A' given by the General Secretary of the Union and application marked annexure 'B' given by the Treasurer of the Union and the Bombay Port Trust authorities are to from part of the Award.
- (iii) Award is made accordingly.
- (iv) No order as to costs.

(Sd.) N. K. VANI,
Presiding Officer,
Central Govt. Industrial Tribunal No. 2,
Bombay.
23-11-69.

"ANNEXURE 'A'"

HON. SHRI N. K. VANI, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY

REFERENCE No. CGIT-2/19 of 1969

(Previous Ref. No. CGIT-45 of 1966)

Bombay Port Trust, Bombay

AND

Their Workmen.

May it please Your Honour,

The above reference is fixed for hearing on Monday, the 13th October 1969 at 11.00 A.M. before Your Honour; the Engine House of Hughes Dry Dock, Alexandra Dock is now electrified. Due to electrification the majority of the Indoor Staff were rendered surplus and they were retrenched on 30th September, 1969 and paid their legal dues. As such the employees concerned in the dispute have asked this union to withdraw the reference. It is thereby prayed that Youth Honour be pleased to allow us to withdraw the reference which we hereby do.

Dated at Bombay on this 13th day of October 1969.

(Sd.) D. M. TULPULE,
General Secretary,
Bombay Stevedores and Dock Labourers' Union
Mazdoor Karyalaya, Congress House,
Bombay-4.

"ANNEXURE 'B'"

HON. SHRI N. K. VANI, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT
INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL NO. 2, BOMBAY

REF. No. CGIT-2/19 of 1969

Previous Ref. No. CGIT-45 of 66

Bombay Port Trust

AND

Their Workmen.

May it please your honour,

We agree to the above reference being withdrawn by the Bombay Stevedores and Dock Labourers' Union as requested by them in their application dated the 13th instant and we hereby request your honour to pass the necessary orders.

(Sd.) H. P. TAVADIA,

(Sd.) V. H. JOSHI,

J.A.L.A., B.P.T.

Treasurer

13-10-1969.

13-10-1969.

[No. 28/72/65-LR-IV/Fac.II.]

New Delhi, the 27th November 1969

S.O. 4836.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 12th November, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY
REFERENCE NO. CGIT-30 OF 1967

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay

AND

their workmen represented by the Bombay Stevedores' and Dock Labourers' Union, Bombay.

PRESENT:

Shri A. T. Zambre, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

For the employers.—Shri R. K. Shetty, Deputy Legal Adviser.

For the workmen.—Shri Vinod Joshi, Treasurer, Bombay Stevedores, and Dock Labourers' Union, Bombay.

STATE: Maharashtra.

INDUSTRY: Major Ports and Docks.

Bombay dated 21st October 1969

AWARD

The Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, Department of Labour and Employment, by their order No. 28/97-LR-III dated 31st October 1967 have referred to this Tribunal for adjudication an industrial dispute between the employees in relation to the Bombay Port Trust, Bombay, and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the following schedule:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the demand that the Engine Drivers and Greasers of Hughes Dry Dock Engine House be supplied rain coats and woollen jerseys is justified?"

2. The employees involved in this reference are 9 engine drivers and 15 greasers working at Engine House, Hughes Dry Dock, Alexandra Dock. They are workmen under the Industrial dispute Act and are members of the Bombay Stevedores' and Dock Labourers' Union who by their statement of claim contended that the engine drivers and the greasers are required to go out in dry dock in the open during the monsoon. The greasers were required to grease machines and other fittings in the open. The engine drivers and greasers had to see whether there was any leakage in culverts etc. They had also to check water levels in the culverts in the dry dock and they had to operate the drainage pump for dry docking and for all this work they had to move out in the open during the monsoon as well as in the winter. The work in the dry dock was round the clock and the workmen were entitled to be furnished with rain coats and woollen jerseys. The Bombay Stevedores and Dock Labourers Union had submitted a charter of demands to the employers in respect of the various grievances experienced by the workmen and many of the demands were settled before the Regional Labour Commissioner (Central), Bombay under a settlement dated 22nd August, 1967. But the parties could not come to terms regarding the supply of rain coats and woollen jerseys to the workmen involved and hence by a joint application dated 31st August, 1967 they moved Government to make a reference and accordingly Government have made this reference.

3. The employers have filed their written statement and denied the workmen's contentions. They have opposed the reference on technical grounds of maintainability contending that the Central Government have already referred a dispute of the workmen of the Madras Dock Labour Board concerning the free supply of uniforms to the National Industrial Tribunal, Dhanbad. That Tribunal was also asked to state the type of uniforms to be supplied to each category, the nature and quality of the clothing material of the uniforms and the scale and periodicity of

upply of such uniforms. It was contended by the employers that they were interested and effected by the award of the said National Tribunal. The reference before the National Tribunal was of much wider scope and any continuance of the present reference before this Tribunal would only duplicate and overlap issues. Hence it should be held that the present reference was bad and that this Tribunal had no jurisdiction.

4. Regarding the merits it was contended that the duties of the engine drivers and greasers were to operate and to attend to other connected duties. The engines were housed in the engine house and both drivers and greasers remain inside the engine room practically throughout their working hours. It was further contended that in a shift of eight hours' work they have to come out of the engine house for not more than 8 minutes which cannot be said to be working continuously in the open so as to expose themselves to rain and cold and further considering the Bombay weather in winter the workmen were not entitled to either rain coats or jerseys.

5. The reference was kept for hearing on many occasions but it had to be adjourned from time to time for one reason or the other. In the meantime there occurred change of circumstances, and the engine house of the Hughes Dry Dock is electrified and hence the workmen are not now interested in the demand in question and they have by their memo dated 13th October 1967 submitted that due to electrification the majority of the indoor staff were rendered surplus. They were retrenched on the 30th September 1969. They were also paid their legal dues and the employees have asked the union to withdraw the present reference.

6. Due to subsequent change of circumstance the workmen are not inclined to press the demands and wish to withdraw from the same and there is no reason why the permission should not be granted. The employers have no objection and in view of this position it shall have to be held that the demand of the engine drivers and greasers of the Hughes Dry Dock Engine House for the supply of rain coats and woollen jerseys is not justified and they are not entitled to any relief. Hence my award accordingly.

No order as to costs.

(Sd.) A. T. ZAMBRE,
Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay.

[No. 28/97/67-LR-III/Fac. II.]

New Delhi, the 29th November 1969

S.O. 4837.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Industrial Tribunal, Bombay in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to M/s. Lima Leitao & Co., Mormugao Harbour and 14 others and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 20th November, 1969.

BEFORE THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BOMBAY
REFERENCE No. CGIT-14 OF 1968

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to M/s Lima Leitao & Co.,
Mormugao Harbour and 14 others

AND
Their Workmen

PRESENT:—

Shri A. T. Zambre, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:—

For the employers—Shri P K. Rele Solicitor of Messers. Crawford Bayley & Co., Solicitors for employers Nos. 1 to 12 of schedule 1. Also Shri Ramesh Desai, Labour Adviser. Shri Ramesh Desai, Labour Adviser for employer No. 13.

Shri T. T. Tayade, Deputy Chairman, Mormugao Dock Labour Board and Shri G. G. Velhar, Secretary-cum-Welfare Officer for employer No. 14.

No appearance for employer No. 15.

For the workmen—No appearance.

STATE: Union Territory of Goa

INDUSTRY: Major Ports and Docks.

Bombay, the 30th October 1969

AWARD

The Government of India in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation, Department of Labour and Employment by their Order No. 29/32/68/LRIII dated the 9th August, 1968 have referred to this Tribunal for adjudication an industrial dispute existing between the employers specified in schedule I of the said reference and their workmen in respect of the subject matters specified in schedule II thereof.

SCHEDULE I

1. Messrs. Lima Leitao & Co., Mormugao, Harbour.
2. M/s Elasbaao Pereira & Sons, Vasco-da-Gama.
3. M/s V. S. Dempo & Co. Pvt. Ltd., Mormugao Harbour.
4. M/s V. M. Salgaocar & Bros. Pvt. Vasco-da-Gama.
5. M/s Chowgule & Bros., Mormugao Harbour.
6. M/s Mormugao Navidodare Ltd. Vasco-da-Gama.
7. M/s Shantilal Khusaldas Bros., Vasco-da-Gama.
8. M/s Agencia Ultra Maritana Ltd., Vasco-da-Gama.
9. M/s Damodar Mangalji & Co., Vasco-da-Gama.
10. M/s Agencia Commercial Maritama, Vasco-da-Gama.
11. M/s Frollone C. R. Machado & Sons., Vasco-da-Gama.
12. The President, Mormugao Stevedores Association, Mormugao Harbour.
13. The President, Goa Mineral Ore Exporters' Association, Panjim.
14. The Chairman, Mormugao Dock Labour Board, Mormugao Harbour.
15. M/s R. V. Redji, Stevedores, Vasco-da-Gama.

SCHEDULE II

Whether the stevedore labour, house winchmen, gangmen, foremen tally clerks and the staff of the River Fleet Department (not including barge crew) employed by the employers specified in Schedule I are entitled to payment of bonus for the year ending the 31st March 1968? If so, what should be the quantum of bonus?"

2. The workmen involved in this reference are the employees of the categories—stevedore labour, house winchmen, gangmen, foremen, tally clerks and staff of the river fleet department. On 5th July 1968 the General Secretary of the Murmgoa Waterfront Workers' Union had by a letter addressed to the Deputy Chairman, Mormugao Dock Labour Board and the President, Mormugao Stevedores Association demanded bonus for the employees of the Mormugao Dock Labour Board for the financial year 1967-68 but the employer did not pay any attention to the same. Therefore on the 17th July 1968 the above union and the Goa Dock Labour Union served a strike notice intimating the employers that the workers would go on strike if the bonus for the year 1967-68 was not paid. They had contended that bonus was a deferred wage. The stevedores in the Mormugao Harbour had made huge profits for the financial year and the workers were entitled to get bonus at the rate of not less than 20 per cent of the gross earnings. As the employers did not pay the bonus the dispute was referred to the Assistant Labour Commissioner (Central) who held conciliation proceedings and after the failure report the matter was referred to this Tribunal for adjudication.

3. Most of the employers mentioned in the schedule are either private limited companies or proprietary firms. Employer No. 12 is the Mormugao Stevedores Association, No. 13 is the Mineral Ore Exporters' Association and No. 14 is the Dock Labour Board.

4. Though the notice of this reference was issued to the employers and the unions the workmen's unions did not file any statement of claim but the employers (Nos. 1 to 12) have by their written-statement opposed the reference on technical grounds and have contended that the financial year 1967-68 ended on 31st March, 1968 and the employers had time to pay bonus to the workmen within eight months from the close of the financial year. The demand was made before the expiry of

the period and the reference was premature. It was further contended that the stevedore labour, house winchmen and gangmen were registered dock workers under the Dock Workers (Regulation of Employment) Scheme and the reference was not sustainable.

5. The Dock Labour Board, employer No. 14, had also by its written statement contended that the Board was not a profit making body. It was only a labour supplying agency to the licensing stevedores and as such there existed no employer-employee relationship between the Dock Labour Board and the stevedore labour and they were not liable to pay bonus to the employees. It was contended that under the scheme they were maintaining certain strength of registered employers and certain strength of registered workers and supplied the workers to the registered employers as per the indents received from them in each shift and the responsibility for the payment of bonus if any was that of the registered employers and not the Dock Labour Board and they have been wrongly made parties to the reference and they should be discharged.

6. Employer No. 13 the Goa Mineral Exporters' Association has also opposed the reference contending that they were not employers of the workmen in the reference. They have contended that the workmen covered under the terms of reference are not employed by the Association. The workers were the stevedore labour, house winchmen, gangmen, foremen, tally clerks and the staff of the river fleet department. The first three categories were registered dock workers of the Mormugao Dock Labour Board and the other categories were the employees of the stevedores and the river fleet staff were the employees of the barge owners. The Mineral Ore Exporters' Association did not employ any of the categories. They did not even possess a stevedoring licence and the reference against them was bad in law. They were wrongly made a party and the reference against them should be quashed.

7. Subsequently by a letter dated 4th November, 1968 the Transport and Dock Workers' Union, Goa, made an application to the Tribunal that it was representing a large number of stevedore gang workers and the union should be joined as a party. The Tribunal directed the applicant to forward copies of the said application to the other parties to the dispute and to appear on the day of hearing. By letter dated 12th December, 1968 the Union intimated this office that copies of its application dated 4th November, 1968 had been duly forwarded to the other parties to the dispute and requested us to send intimation to them of the next date of hearing. But the union did not file any statement of claim nor did the employers file any rejoinder and the reference was fixed for hearing.

8. But before the date of hearing the employers settled the dispute amicably and sent a memorandum of settlement to the Tribunal which was received in this office on 23rd April, 1969 and the parties had also made an application requesting the Tribunal to make an award in terms of the memorandum of settlement. However, after going through the record as there were some inconsistencies the matter was fixed for hearing at Panaji, Goa on 28th May, 1969. It was noticed that out of the 15 employers the settlement was signed only by 14 employers while the Goa Mineral Ore Exporters Association had not subscribed to it. Further the term of settlement did not cover all the six categories of the workmen involved in the order of reference. However, on the date of hearing the union representatives did not appear and Shri Ramesh Desai Labour Adviser representing most of the employers and Shri G. G. Velhal of the Mormugao Dock Labour Board contended that the representatives of all the three unions have signed the settlement and they agreed to the terms and an award should be passed. However a second chance was given to the workmen and the reference was again fixed for hearing on 31st October, 1969. The union representatives did not appear and the matter was heard *ex parte*.

9. Shri Ramesh Desai who appeared for the employers on behalf of employer No. 13 the Goa Mineral Ore Exporters' Association which had not signed the settlement has argued that the Mineral Ore Exporters Association is not an employer according to law. They did not employ any of the categories of workers mentioned in the order of reference. The first three categories are registered dock workers of the Mormugao Dock Labour Board. The next two categories are the employees of the stevedores who are the registered employers of the Dock Labour Board the river fleet staff are the workmen of the barge owners. The Exporters' Association does not employ any of these categories and they have been wrongly joined as a party. I have already mentioned that the settlement is signed only by 14 employers. Employer No. 13 has not signed the settlement. It has been also signed by the union representatives and all these parties have made an application to this Tribunal to pass an award. This shows that the workers are not interested in

having any relief against employer No. 13. This is also clear from the strike notice which is on record. The unions themselves have stated:—

"Very often an argument is harped upon that it is the Mineral Exporters and not the stevedores that have made profits. Well, gentlemen, the exporters have no *locus standi* in the stevedoring business."

This statement in the strike notice also supports the contention of employer No. 13 that they are not employers under the Payment of Wages Act and it shall have to be held that they have been wrongly included as parties to the dispute and will not be liable to pay any bonus to the workers mentioned in the schedule.

10. Under the settlement it has been agreed that bonus for the financial year 1967-68 should be paid to the registered dock workers of the Mormugao Dock Labour Board including the house gang workers and winchmen at the rate of 8 paise per ton handled. The terms also lay down the procedure for calculating the amount and I am satisfied that the parties have taken into consideration all the circumstances and the terms of settlement are fair and reasonable. The remaining categories of workers are employed by the stevedores and they are entitled to get bonus under the Payment of Wages Act. It has been argued by the employers that they have been paid bonus. The union's representatives have not appeared. They have signed the terms of settlement and it has been specifically stated in the settlement as follows—

"Since the dispute for payment of bonus for the year 1967-68 is finally settled as stated above, the parties hereby agree to make prayer to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal at Bombay to pass an award in terms of this settlement".

and it appears that all the three unions are not interested in the dispute in respect of the other categories of workers presumably because they may have been paid and it shall have to be held that the other categories are not entitled to any relief under this reference. As regards the registered dock workers and the gang workers and the winchmen the parties have arrived at the settlement. I have already discussed the terms which are reasonable and hence I pass an award accordingly. The terms of settlement exhibit I shall form part of this award.

No order as to costs.

(Sd.) A. T. ZAMBRE,
Presiding Officer,
Central Government Industrial Tribunal,
Bombay.

Exhibit I.

Memorandum of Settlement

PRESENT:

Representing the employers.

Representing the employees.

1. M/s. Lima Leitao & Co., Mormugao Harbour.
2. M/s. Elesbao Pereira & Sons, Vasco da Gama.
3. M/s. V. S. Dempo & Co., Ltd., Mormugao Harbour.
4. M/s. V. M. Salgoacar & Bros., P. Ltd., Vasco da Gama.
5. M/s. Chowgule Brothers, Mormugao Harbour
6. M/s. Mormugao Navegadora Ltd., Vasco da Gama.
7. M/s. Shantilal Kushaldas & Bros., Vasco da Gama.
8. M/s. Agencia Ultramarina P. Ltd., Vasco da Gama.
9. M/s. Damodar Mangalji & Co., P. Ltd., Vasco da Gama.
10. Agencia Commercial Maritima, Vasco da Gama.

Gerald Pereira, General Secretary, Marmagoa Waterfront W. Union, Vasco da Gama.

G. D. Bhadkamkar, General Secretary, Transport & Dock W. Union, Vasco da Gama.

Representing the employers

Representing the employers

11. M/s. Freilano C. R. Machado & Sons, Vasco da Gama.
12. The President, Mormugao Stevedores Association, Vasco da Gama.
13. The Chairman, Mormugao Dock Labour Board, Mormugao Harbour.
14. M/s. R. V. Redij, Vasco da Gama.

Moham Nair, General Secretary,
Goa Dock Labour Union,
Vasco da Gama.

Show Recital of the Case.

The Goa Dock Labour Union vide its letter No. 427/DLB/S/68 dated the 17th July, 1968, and the Mormugao Waterfront Workers' Union vide its letter No. MWWU/163/68 dated the 17th July, 1968, served a strike notice stating therein that the Reserve Pool Workers would resort to strike on any day after 31st July, 1968, if the bonus for the financial year 1967-68 was not paid to them before that date. After submission of a failure report by the Asst. Labour Commissioner (Central), Vasco da Gama, the Government of India, Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation vide their notification No. 29/32/LHIII dated 9th August, 1968, referred the dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Bombay. This reference is still pending before the said Tribunal under reference No. CGIT-14 of 1968.

Meanwhile, the parties to the above reference started negotiations to find out mutual agreement. Series of meeting were held during the period 30th December, 1968, to 4th March, 1969. Based on the performance of the workers for the year 1967-1968, the parties have agreed on bonus rate of Rs. 00.08 p.s. per ton and arrived at the following settlement.

Terms of Settlement

(1) It is agreed that bonus for the financial year 1967-1968 shall be paid to the Registered Dock Workers of the Mormugao Dock Labour Board including the house gangworkers and winchmen at the rate of 8 paise per ton handled (which is equivalent to 7.1 per cent.) of the wages earned by the Reserve Pool workers except house rent allowance and attendance allowance inclusive of D.A. and I.R. for the days the workers were sent back on attendance.

(2) It is further agreed that the amount will be calculated as per the rate mentioned in item I above on the basis of the tonnage handled as per bill of lading by each Registered employer shall be pooled together and payment will be made to the Registered dock workers of the Mormugao Dock Labour Board including the house gangworkers and house winchmen as shown below:—

(a) Suppose the mandays of winchmen are A and the winchmen's basic wage is Rs. 4.40 and the gangworkers basic wage is Rs. 3.32 then

$$A \times 4.40$$

the mandays of the winchmen should be increased by $\frac{A \times 4.40}{3.32} = 1.33 \times A$

(b) The above assumed mandays of the winchmen should be added to the actual mandays put in by the gangworkers in order to arrive at the rate per manday. This will be the rate of bonus of the gangworkers.

(c) The bonus amount available on the basis of assumed manday of the winchmen should be divided with the actual mandays of the winchmen in order to arrive at the rate of bonus of winchmen.

(d) The bonus should be paid to the winchmen and gangworkers on the basis of the actual number of days they were assigned for work to the registered employers by multiplying the number of days with rate of bonus arrived at under Item (b) and (c) above.

(3) It is agreed that the bonus for the year in question shall be paid to the house gangworkers and winchmen not on the basis of actual mandays put in by them but on the basis of average employment of Reserve Pool Gang workers and winchmen.

(4) Since the dispute for payment of bonus for the year 1967-1968, vide reference No. CGIT-14 of 1968, is finally settled as stated above, the parties hereby agree to make prayer to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal at Bombay to pass an award in terms of this settlement.

(5) The payment of bonus as per this agreement shall be made on or before 1st May, 1969.

(Sd.)

M/s. Lima Leitao & Co., Mormugao Harbour.

(Sd.)

M/s. Elesbao Pereira & Sons Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

M/s. V. S. Dempo & Co., P. Ltd., Mormugao Harbour.

(Sd.)

M/s. V. M. Salgaocar & Bro., P. Ltd., Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

M/s. Chowgule Brothers, Mormugao Harbour.

(Sd.)

M/s. Mormugao Navegadora Ltd., Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

M/s. Shantilal Kushaldas & Bros., Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

M/s. Agencia Ultamarina Pvt. Ltd., Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

M/s. Damodar Mangalji & Co., P. Ltd., Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

M/s. Agencia Commercial Maritima, Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

M/s. Froilane C. R. Machado & Sons, Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

The President, Mormugao Stevedores Association, Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

The Chairman, Mormugao Dock Labour Board, Mormugao Harbour.

(Sd.)

M/s. R. V. Redij, Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

Gerald Pereira, Mormugao Waterfront W. Union, Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

G. D. Bhadkamkar, General Secretary, Transport & Dock W. Union, Vasco da Gama.

(Sd.)

Mohan Nair, General Secretary, Goa Dock Labour Union, Vasco da Gama.

C.T.C.
Sd/- Illegible,
Secy. Mormugao Dock
Labour Board.
[No. 29/32/69-LR-III/Fac.II.]
C. RAMDAS, Dy. Secy.

(Department of Labour and Employment)
New Delhi, the 29th November 1969

S.O. 4638.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, Calcutta, in the industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Messrs Hari Krishnan Singh Chopra and Brothers (Collieries) Private Limited, G. T. Road (West), Post Office Asansol, District Burdwan and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th November, 1969.

CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, CALCUTTA.

REFERENCE NO. 59 OF 1969.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the management of Messrs Harkrishnan Singh Chopra and Brothers (Collieries) Private Limited,

AND

Their workmen.

PRESENTS:

Sri B. N. Banerjee, Presiding Officer.

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of Employers,—Sri N. Das, Advocate.

On behalf of Workmen,—Sri R. Das Gupta, Adviser of the Union.

STATE: West Bengal.

INDUSTRY: Coal Mines.

AWARD

By Order No. 6/30/69-LR-II, dated July 11, 1969, the Government of India, in the Ministry of Labour, Employment and Rehabilitation (Department of Labour and Employment), referred an industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Messrs Harkrishnan Singh Chopra and Brothers (Collieries) Private Limited and their workmen, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, namely:

"Whether the order dated 31st March, 1969 issued by the management of Messrs Harkrishnan Singh Chopra and Brothers (Collieries) Private Limited, G. T. Road (West) Post Office Asansol to Shri Kalipada Banerjee, Overman, transferring him from Adjai Second Colliery to their Asansol Office with effect from 1st April, 1969 was lawful and justified? If not, to what relief is the workman entitled?"

2. I need not hear this matter further because the parties have filed a petition of compromise praying for an award in terms of the settlement contained in the petition. Since the parties have settled their dispute, I pass an award in terms of the petition of compromise. Let the petition of compromise form part of this award.

Dated November 18, 1969.

(Sd.) B. N. BANERJEE,

Presiding Officer.

BEFORE SHRI B. N. BANERJEE, PRESIDING OFFICER, CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL CALCUTTA.

In the matter of Reference No. 59 of 1969

AND

In the matter of an Industrial dispute

BETWEEN

The employers in relation to the management of Messrs Harkrishnan Singh Chopra & Brothers (Collieries) Private Limited, P.O. Asansol, District Burdwan.

AND

Their workmen represented by Colliery Mazdoor Sabha, P.O. Raniganj, Dt., Burdwan.

The humble petition of both the parties abovenamed.
Most Respectfully Sheweth:

1. That the parties have amicably and jointly settled the dispute on the following terms and conditions:

- (a) The employers shall pay to Shri Kalipada Banerjee, workman concerned in the dispute, a sum of Rs. 6,500 (Rupees Six thousand and five hundred only) in full and final settlement of his claims in respect of all arrear dues, leave wages, one month's pay in lieu of notice, Profit Sharing Bonus, Attendance Bonus and compensation for 32 years of service.

(b) The services of Shri Kalipada Banerjee shall terminate upon his receiving the sum of money mentioned in (a) above and he will have no further claim whatsoever against the employers.

2. That the above mentioned dispute is settled in terms of this agreement.

The petitioners, therefore, pray that the Honourable Tribunal may be pleased to accept the terms of settlement and pass an Award accordingly and such other order or orders as may be considered fit and necessary.

Representing the Union.

1. (Sd.) Illegible
Vice-President, Colliery
Mazdoor Union.
2. (Sd.) Illegible
3. (Sd.) Illegible
concerned workman.

Representing the Employers.

1. SANTOSH SINGH,
Managing Director of the
Employers Co.
2. (Sd.) Illegible.
Advocate.
3.

Dated, November 18, 1969.

[No. 6/30/69-LRII.]

S.O. 4839.—In pursuance of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby publishes the following award of the Central Government Industrial Tribunal, (No. 3) Dhanbad in the Industrial dispute between the employers in relation to the management of Bokna Iron Ore Mines of Messrs D. K. Bai, Post Office Barajmada, District Singhbhum and their workmen, which was received by the Central Government on the 24th November, 1969.

THE CENTRAL GOVERNMENT INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL (No. 3) AT DHANBAD
REFERENCE NO. 6 OF 1968

PRESENT:

Shri Sachidanand Sinha, M.A.M.L., Presiding Officer.

PARTIES:

Employers in relation to the Bokna Iron Ore Mines,
Vs.
Their workmen.

APPEARANCES:

For employers: Shri S. S. Mukherjee, Advocate.

For workmen: Shri N. Guha, Secretary.

INDUSTRY: Iron Ore.

STATE: Bihar.

Dhanbad, the 6th November, 1969

AWARD

1. The Central Government, being of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of Bokna Iron Ore Mines of Messrs D. K. Bai, Post Office Barajmada, District Singhbhum and their workmen, by its order No. 24/11/68-LRI dated the 30th of July, 1968 referred to this Tribunal under section 10(1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for adjudication the dispute in respect of the matters specified in the schedule annexed thereto. The Schedule is extracted below:—

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of Bokna Iron Ore Mines of Messrs D. K. Bai, Post Office Barajmada, District Singhbhum were justified in not granting to the workmen I and II interim wages and wages in accordance with the final recommendations of the Central Wage Board for Iron Ore Industry, with effect from the 1st January, 1964, the 1st April 1966 and the 1st January, 1967 respectively?

If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

2. The Secretary, United Mineral Workers Union filed the written statement on behalf of the workmen on 28th August 1968. Their case in brief is that the Company is undoubtedly in a position to implement the first and second interim wages

with effect from the 1st January, 1964 and the 1st April, 1966 respectively of the Central Wage Board recommendation and is also in a position to implement the final recommendation of the Wage Board from the 1st of January, 1967. The financial condition of the management is sound enough to bear the burden of the payment of 1st and 2nd interim wage increases and wages as per the final recommendations of the wage Board.

3. The management filed written statement on 28th October 1968. Their case is that the Central Wage Board for Iron Ore Mines made two interim reports during the course of their deliberation. The effect of the 1st and 2nd interim recommendations of the Wage Board was that the cost of production of Iron Ore again went up. The Mine Owners including the present management made representation to M.M.T.C. for suitable increase in the purchase price because of the said interim report and the M.M.T.C. was pleased to increase the purchase price of Iron Ore and thereafter the management implemented the 1st and the 2nd recommendations of the Wage Board to their workmen. In granting the said increase the M.M.T.C. in effect recognised the fact that it was beyond the capacity of the Management to implement the interim recommendations unless there was an increase in purchase price granted by the M.M.T.C. In the written statement the management admitted that it has implemented the 1st and 2nd interim recommendation of the Wage Board. The stand taken by the management in their written statement was that with the present purchase price obtained from principal buyers viz M.M.T.C. and the Hindustan Steel Ltd., it is not possible to implement the final recommendation of the Wage Board. The stand taken before me by the management is that they have also implemented the final recommendation of the Wage Board as per settlement filed by the management. According to them they have negotiated the dispute regarding the implementation of the Wage Board Award with Singhbhum Khan Mazdoor Panchayat and have implemented the same.

4. As already stated above it was admitted that the management has implemented the 1st and 2nd recommendation of the Wage Board. Therefore, the point for consideration is whether the management has also implemented the final recommendation of the Central Wage Board for Iron Ore Industry with effect from the 1st of January, 1967.

5. In this case the management has examined one witness viz. Sri B. P. Sukla, who is at present looking after the works of the management. He has stated in his evidence that the management has implemented the final recommendation of the Wage Board Award. According to him on 28th January 1968 there was settlement between the management and the Singhbhum Khan Mazdoor Panchayat Union and they have filed memorandum of settlement which is marked as Ext. M-1. According to him after the settlement the workmen were paid according to the Wage Board Recommendation vide Ext. M-1. According to the management this settlement was made on 28th January 1968. The workmen were paid the arrears from 1st January 1967. Therefore, the statement of MW-1 Sri B. P. Sukla coupled with Ext. M-1 shows that the management has implemented the final recommendation of the Wage Board since 1st January 1967. On behalf of the Union no workman was examined to deny this fact of settlement.

6. Therefore, the evidence discussed above goes to show that the management has implemented the final recommendation of the Wage Board on 28th January 1968 with effect from 1st January 1967. In this view of the case I find that the workmen are not entitled to any other relief.

7. This is my award. It may be submitted to the Central Government under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

(Sd.) SACHIDANAND SINHA

Presiding Officer.

[No. 24/11/68-LR-IV.]

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 28th November 1969

S.O. 4840.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of East Bhuggatdih Colliery Post Office Jharia, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3), Dhanbad, constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

- (i) "Having regard to the recommendations in Chapter VIII of the report of the Central Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry relating to Engineering Department whether the demand of Shri Ram Sharan Mistry, Senior Fitter, East Bhuggatdih Colliery, for B Grade scale of pay of Head Fitter is justified? If so, to what relief is he entitled and from what date?"
- (ii) Having regard to the recommendation in Appendix V, Vol. II of the report of the Central Wage Board for Coal Mining Industry relating to Fitters whether the demand of Shri Khem Lal Mistry, Fitter, East Bhuggatdih Colliery for Category VI wages is justified? If so, to what relief is he entitled and from what date?"

[No. 2/168/69-LRII.]

S.O. 4841.—Whereas the Central Government is of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the employers in relation to the management of North Damoda and Kessergarh Collieries, Post Office Nukhurkee, District Dhanbad and their workmen in respect of the matters specified in the Schedule hereto annexed;

And whereas the Central Government considers it desirable to refer the said dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (14 of 1947), the Central Government hereby refers the said dispute for adjudication to the Central Government Industrial Tribunal (No. 3), Dhanbad constituted under section 7A of the said Act.

SCHEDULE

"Whether the management of North Damoda and Kessergarh Collieries, Post Office Nudkhurkee, District Dhanbad, was justified in terminating the services of their twenty-two workmen, whose names, designations and dates of termination are given below? If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled?

S. No.	Name	Designation	Date of termination of service
1.	Shri Munilal Beldar.	Wagon Loader.	31.1.66
2.	Sri Gumanl Gope.	Miner.	31.1.66
3.	Sri Dukhan Gope.	Miner.	31.1.66
4.	Sri Raghu Nandan Gope.	U.G. Trammer.	31.1.66
5.	Sri Rehdas Gope.	U.G. Trammer.	31.1.66
6.	Sri Ram Chandra Gope.	U.G. Trammer.	31.1.66
7.	Sri Samaru Gope.	Miner.	11.11.65
8.	Sri Sakaldip Gope.	U.G. Trammer.	11.11.65
9.	Sri Fekan Gope.	U.G. Trammer.	11.11.65
10.	Sri Deo Nandan Gope.	Chaprasl.	10.11.65
11.	Sri Dular Chand Gope.	U.G. Trammer.	11.11.65
12.	Sri Mathura Mahato.	Miner.	11.11.65
13.	Sri Hardeo Gope.	Bailing Mazdoor.	21.1.66
14.	Sri Mahadeo Gope.	U.G. Trammer.	21.1.66
15.	Sri Baru Gope.	Miner.	21.1.66
16.	Sri Deo Nandan Gope.	Miner.	21.1.66
17.	Sri Ramdeo Gope.	U.G. Trammer.	22.7.65
18.	Sri Rupan Dusadh.	U.G. Trammer.	22.7.65
19.	Sri Sakaldeo Gope.	Chaprasl.	22.7.65
20.	Sri Deban Gope.	U.G. Trammer.	22.7.65
21.	Sri Loknath Gope.	Miner.	8.10.65
22.	Sri Raj Kumar Gope.	Chaprasl.	10.11.65

[No. 2/80/69-LRII.]

P. C. MISRA, Under Secy.

(Department of Rehabilitation)

(Office of the Chief Settlement Commissioner)

New Delhi, the 4th November 1969

S.O. 4842.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Claims) Supplementary Act, 1954 (No. 12 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Rajni Kant, as Chief Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such Chief Settlement Commissioner by or under the said Act with immediate effect.

[No. 5(2)/Admn. II/69.]

S.O. 4843.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of Administration of Evacuee Property Act, 1950 (31 of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Rajni Kant as Custodian General of Evacuee Property for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such Custodian General by or under the said Act with immediate effect.

[No. 5(2)/Admn. II/69.]

S.O. 4844.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation & Rehabilitation) Act 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Rajni Kant as Chief Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such Chief Settlement Commissioner by or under the said Act with immediate effect.

[No. 5(2)/Admn. II/69.]

New Delhi, the 24th November 1969

S.O. 4845.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (i) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation & Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954) the Central Government hereby appoints for the States of Punjab & Haryana, Shri T. R. Chone, Asstt. Settlement Officer in the Office of the Regional Settlement Commissioner, Jullundur as Managing Officer, for the purposes of performing the functions assigned to such officers by or under the said Act with immediate effect.

[No. 8(54)/ARG/64.]

New Delhi, the 25th November 1969

S.O. 4846.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (i) of Section 3 of the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (44 of 1954), the Central Government hereby appoints Shri Harish Chander, Regional Settlement Commissioner, Jullundur as Settlement Commissioner for the purpose of performing the functions assigned to such officers by or under the said Act with effect from 7th November, 1969.

[No. 5(2)/63-ARG.]

S.O. 4847.—In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (i) of Section 6 of the Administration of evacuee property Act, 1950 (XXXI of 1950), the Central Government hereby appoints for the states of Punjab & Haryana, Shri Harish Chander, Regional Settlement Commissioner, Jullundur as Custodian for the purpose of discharging the duties imposed on Custodian by or under the said Act w.e.f. the forenoon of the 7th November, 1969.

[No. 5(2)/63-ARG.]

A. G. VASWANI,
Settlement Commissioner (Admn.) & Ex-
Ex-Officio Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT, INTERNAL TRADE AND COMPANY AFFAIRS

(Department of Industrial Development)

(Indian Standards Institution)

New Delhi, the 19th November 1969

S.O. 4848.—In pursuance of sub-regulations (2) and (3) of regulation 3 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that the Indian Standard(s), particulars of which are given in the Schedule hereto annexed, have been established during the period 1 October to 15 November 1969.

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	No. and Title of the Indian Standard Established	No. and Title of the Indian Standard or Standards, if any, superseded by the new Indian Standard	Brief Particulars
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	*IS: 77-1968 Specification for linseed oil, boiled, for paints (<i>first revision</i>)	(i) IS: 77-1950 Specification for linseed oil, boiled, for paints and (ii) IS: 78-1950 :Specification for linseed oil, pale boiled, for paints	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for linseed oil, boiled, for paints. Apart from paint industry and other allied applications, it is also used as foundry core oil. (Price Rs. 2.50)
2	IS: 333-1969 Specification for potassium permanganate (<i>first revision</i>)	IS: 333-1951 Specification for potassium permanganate, technical and pharmaceutical	This standard prescribes the requirements and the method of sampling and tests for potassium permanganate. (Price Rs. 4.00)
3	†IS: 348-1968 Specification for french polish (<i>first revision</i>)	IS: 348-1952 Specification for french polish	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for the material commercially known as french polish. The material is used for finishing woodwork. (Price Rs. 6.00)
4	IS: 583-1969 Specification for ankle boots for general purposes (<i>first revision</i>)	**IS: 583-1954 Specification for ammunition boots for general purposes	This standard prescribes the requirements, methods of sampling and test for ankle boots for general purposes. (Price Rs. 7.50)
5	IS: 952-1969 Specification for fognozzle for fire brigade use	..	This standard lays down the requirements regarding material, shape, construction and tests for fognozzle for fire brigade use. (Price Rs. 3.50)

*For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme, IS: 77-1968 shall come into force with effect from 15 October 1969.

†For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme IS: 348-1969 shall come into force with effect from 1 December 1969.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
6 IS: 1066-1969 Code for packaging of sewing threads	..	This code prescribes the requirements of packaging of sewing threads particularly for export. (Price Rs. 1.50)	
7 IS: 1200 (Part I)-1969 Method of measurement of building and civil engineering work Part I Earthwork (second revision)	IS: 1200-1964 Method of measurement of building works (revised)	This standard covers the method of measurement of earthwork in building and civil engineering works, and applies to the preparation of estimates and bill of quantities, and site measurement. (Price Rs. 4.00)	
8 IS: 1222-1969 Specification for ink, duplicating, for twin cylinder rotary machines (first revision)	**IS: 1222-1957 Specification for ink, duplicating, all weather, black for rotary type machine.	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for duplicating ink, all weather, for use on twin cylinder rotary type machine. (Price Rs. 2.50)	
9 IS: 2644-1969 Specification for high tensile steel castings (first revision)	IS: 2644-1964 Specification for high tensile steel castings	This standard covers the requirements for high tensile steel castings. (Price Rs. 3.50)	
10 IS: 2720 (Part XXXI)-1969 Method of test for soils Part XXXI Field determination of California bearing ratio	..	This standard covers the method for the determination of the bearing ratio (generally known as the California bearing ratio) of soils in-place for the evaluation of strength of sub-grade and bases for roads and runway pavements. (Price Rs. 4.00)	
II IS: 3003 (Part II)-1969 Specification for carbon brushes for electrical machines	..	This standard covers the dimensions of the chamfers, bevels and terminations of brushes (Price Rs. 4.00)	
Part II Dimensions of chamfers, bevels and terminations			
12 **IS: 3149-1968 Specification for enamelware for home use (first revision)	IS: 3149-1965 Specification for enamelware for home use	This standard prescribed the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for enamelware for use in home (Price Rs. 2.50)	
13 IS: 3420-1969 Procedure for inspection and testing of aluminium and aluminium alloys, sheets and strip (for aircraft purposes)	..	This standard covers procedure for inspection and testing of aluminium and aluminium alloys in the form of sheet and strip used in the aircraft industry. (Price Rs. 5.00)	

**For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme IS: 583-1954 and IS: 1222-1957 shall run concurrently with IS: 583-1969 and IS: 1222-1969 respectively up to 31 December 1969.

††For purposes of ISI Certification Marks Scheme, IS: 3149-1968 shall come into force with effect from 1 Nov 1969.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
14	IS: 4339 (Part VIII)-1969 Methods of test for stabilized soils Part VIII Determination of lime content of lime stabilized soils	..	This standard covers the determination of the proportion by weight of the lime present in a lime-stabilized soil. It is done by comparing the combined calcium and magnesium contents of the stabilized soil with those of the natural soil and lime and it is essential that samples of these should all be available. (Price Rs. 4.00)
15	IS: 4910 (Part III)-1969 Methods for tyre yarns, cords and tyre cord fabrics made from man-made fibres Part III Dip pick up	..	This standard prescribes methods for determination of amount of dip on man-made fibre tyre yarns, cords and tyre cord fabrics treated with Resorcinol Formaldehyde Latex (RFL) dip solution with and without carbon black. (Price Rs. 2.50)
16	IS: 4991-1968 Criteria for blast resistant design of structures for explosions above ground	..	This standard covers the criteria for design of structures for blast effects of explosions above ground. This standard does not cover the design for blast effects of nuclear explosions. (Price Rs. 9.50)
17	IS: 5000 (OC 2)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices case outline OC2	..	This drawing has been prepared in accordance with IS: 5001-1969 'Guide for preparation of drawings of semiconductor devices'. (Price Rs. 3.00)
18	IS: 5000 (OC4)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices case outline OC4	..	This drawing has been prepared in accordance with IS: 5001-1969 'Guide for preparation of drawings of semiconductor devices'. (Price Rs. 3.00)
19	IS: 5000 (OD5)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices device outline OD5	..	This drawing has been prepared in accordance with IS: 5001-1969 'Guide for preparation of drawings of semiconductor devices'. (Price Rs. 3.00)
20	IS: 5000 (OD 9)-1969 Dimensions of semiconductor devices device outline OD9	..	This drawing has been prepared in accordance with IS: 5001-1969 'Guide for preparation of drawings of semiconductor devices'. (Price Rs. 3.00)
21	IS: 5086-1969 Specification for stencil paper	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and tests for waxless stencil papers used on duplicating machines (Price Rs. 3.50)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
22	IS: 5131-1969 Specification for dividing breeching, with control, for fire brigade use	..	This standard lays down the requirements for materials, design and construction, workmanship and finish and tests for the dividing breeching, with control. (Price Rs. 3.50)
23	IS: 5132-1969 Specification for hose reel tubing for fire fighting	..	This standard specifies the materials, functional requirements, manufacture and dimensions, workmanship and finish and tests for hose-reel tubing used for first-aid hose-reels for fire fighting purposes. (Price Rs. 3.50)
24	IS: 5142-1969 Specification for continuously variable voltage auto-transformers	..	This standard covers natural dry type and oil immersed manually operated, toroidally wound continuously variable voltage auto-transformers. Motor operated variable voltage auto-transformers and variable voltage auto-transformers having a partial variation of output voltage are not covered in this standard. (Price Rs. 5.00)
25	IS: 5149-1969 Specification for maleic anhydride, technical	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for maleic anhydride, technical suitable for industrial purposes. (Price Rs. 6.00)
26	IS: 5156-1969 Specification for colorimeter cup, duboscq type	..	This specification covers the requirements of colorimeter cup and micro-cup duboscq type, used in pathological work. (Price Rs. 1.50)
27	IS: 5159-1969 Specification for mains-operated electric shavers	..	This standard applies to mains-operated electric dry shavers designed for connection to supplies at voltages not exceeding 250 V ac or dc. (Price Rs. 3.50)
	IS: 5160-1969 Specification for mains-operated synchronous clocks	..	This specification deals with the electrical characteristics of alternating current mains-operated synchronous clocks for voltages not exceeding 250 V. The clocks are intended for indoor use and may be of the self-starting or manual starting types. (Price Rs. 2.50)
29	IS: 5164-1969 Specification for isoBorneol	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and the methods of sampling and test for isoborneol (Price Rs. 2.00)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
30	IS: 5169-1969 Specification for hacksaw frames	..	This standard lays down the requirements for hacksaw frames suitable for hacksaw blades as specified in IS: 2594-1963 (Price Rs 5.00)
31	IS: 5183-1969 Guide for treatment of effluents of tanning industry	..	This standard covers method of treatment of effluents of tanning industry. (Price Rs. 6.50).
32	IS: 5185-1969 Specification for articulators, dental	..	This standard covers the requirements of plane-line articulators, Types 1 and 2 and free-plane articulator used for setting the artificial teeth in proper relation to each other in denture construction and for other purposes, where upper and lower casts have to be articulated (Price Rs 3.50)
33	IS: 5188-1969 Specification for cold polymerized oil-extended raw styrene-butadiene rubber	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and methods of sampling and test for highly aromatic oil-extended raw styrene-butadiene rubber (SBR), obtained by copolymerization of styrene and butadiene at low temperatures (Price Rs 2.50)
34	IS 5189-1969 Specification for cold polymerized raw styrenebutadiene rubber	..	This standard prescribes the requirements, methods of sampling and test for non-oil extended raw styrene-butadiene rubbers (SBR), obtained by copolymerization of styrene and butadiene at low temperatures. (Price Rs. 3.50)
35	IS: 5190-1969 Code for packaging of natural rubber latex in drums	..	This code prescribes the method of packing and marking of natural rubber latex in clean, disinfected and painted drums (Price Rs 2.00).
36	IS: 5192-1969 Specification for vulcanized rubber compounds	..	This standard prescribes the requirements and tests for ten varieties of vulcanized rubber compounds for the manufacture of general purpose rubber articles (Price Rs 2.00)
37	IS: 5194-1969 Method for determination of nitrogen kjeldahl method	..	This standard prescribes the kjeldahl method for the determination of amino nitrogen in organic materials. (Price Rs 2.50)

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
38	IS: 5195-1969 Specification for paper stationery items for schools	..	This standard prescribes the requirements for eight types of paper stationery items, generally used in schools
39	IS: 5196-1969 Specification for chest expander	..	This standard lays down requirements pertaining to material, dimensions, manufacture and tests for chest expanders used for physical training (Price Rs 2.50)
40	IS: 5204-1969 Specification for research microscope	..	This standard covers the general and functional requirements of the research microscope (Price Rs 4.00)
41	IS: 5205-1969 Specification for drawing pins	..	This standard covers the requirements of drawing pins used for fastening paper on drawing boards (Price Rs 2.00)
42	IS: 5209-1968 Principles and conventions for flowsheets for coal preparation plant	..	This standard recommends the principles and conventions for preparing basic flowsheets for the coal preparation plant, namely, the process flowsheet and the equipment flowsheet (Price Rs 4.00)
43	IS: 5222-1969 Performance requirements for auto-rickshaw meters, distance type	..	This standard covers the performance requirements for distance-type auto-rickshaw meters to determine charges for hire of auto-rickshaws (Price Rs 2.00)

Copies of these Indian Standards are available for sale with the Indian Standards Institution, Manak Bhavan, 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1 and also its branch offices at (i) 534, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Road, Bombay-7 (ii) 5, Chowringhee Approach Road, Calcutta-13 (iii) 54 General Patters Road, Madras-2 (iv) 117/418 B, Sarvodaya Nagar, Kanpur and (v) 5-201/2 Chizag Ali Lane, Hyderabad-1.

[No. CMD/13:2]

S.O. 4849.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) Regulation 6 of Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks), Regulations 1956, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that thirty-seven licences, particulars of which are given in the following Schedule, have been granted authorising the licensees to use the Standard Marks :

THE SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Licence No. (CM/L-)	Period of Validity		Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the licence and the Relevant IS: Designation
		From	To		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	CM/L-2105 8-10-1969	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Mukand Iron & Steel Works Ltd., Agra Road, Kurla, Bombay-70.	Hot rolled mild steel, medium tensile steel and high yield strength steel deformed bars for concrete reinforcement—IS: 1139-1966.
2	CM/L-2106 8-10-1969	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Do.	Cold twisted steel bars for concrete reinforce- ment—IS: 1786-1966.
3	CM/L-2107 14-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Great Indian Plywood Manufacturing Com- pany, Unit No. 2, 49A, Hari Ghosh, Calcutta-6.	Tea-chest metal fittings—IS: 10-1964.
4	CM/L-2108 14-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Purushotham Goculdas Plywood Co., Pappimisseri, Cannanore Distt. (Kerala State)	Plywood for general purposes—IS: 303-1960.
5	CM/L-2109 15-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Khandesh Pesticides Pvt. Ltd., Station Road, Dharangaon, Distt. Jalgaon, (W. Rly.)	BHC dusting powders—IS: 561-1962.
6	CM/L-2110 16-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Ahmedabad Manufacturing & Calico Printing Co. Ltd., Calico Chemicals and Plastics Division, Anik-Chamber, Bombay-74 (A.S.)	Trichloroethylene, technical—IS: 245-1962.
7	CM/L-2111 16-10-1969	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	South India Aluminium Company, Kandan- chavadi, Mahabalipuram Road, Madras-20 having their Office 35, Mint Street, Madras-3.	Wrought Aluminium and aluminium alloy utensils—IS: 21-1959.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
8 CM/L-2112 16-10-1969.	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Patel Rolling Mills, Kolshet Road (Near Power House) Thana (C. Rly.) having their Office at K. Maganlal Estate, Reti Bunder, Darukhana, Bombay-10.	Structural Steel (Standard quality)—IS : 226-1962.	
9 CM/L-2113 16-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS : 1977-1962.	
10 CM/L-2114 16-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	J. K. Iron & Steel Co. Ltd., Kalpi Road, Kanpur.	Hot rolled steel strips (baling)—IS : 1029-1956.	
11 CM/L-2115 16-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Hindustan Sanitary Ware & Industries Ltd., Bahadurgarh Distt. Rohtak.	Flushing cisterns for water closets and urinals (valveless siphonic type) vitreous china—IS : 774-1964.	
12 CM/L-2116 16-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Do.	Vitreous sanitary appliances (vitreous china) consisting of :	
				(1) Wash down water closets. (2) Squatting Pans, long pen pattern size 450 mm 630 mm, 580 mm & 680 mm. (3) Squatting Pans Orissa pattern size 630×450 mm, 580×440. (4) Wash-basins, flat back size 630×450mm. (5) Pedestals for wash basins. (6) Laboratory sinks size 400×250×150 mm and. (7) Urinals (bowls—flat back)—IS : 2556 (Parts II, III, IV, V, VI)—1967.	
13 CM/L-2117 16-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Oriental Suppliers Syndicate Gurudas Dutta Garden Lane, Ultradanga, Calcutta-4.	Tea-Chest metal fittings—IS : 10-1964.	
14 CM/L-2118 17-10-1969	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Alkali & Chemical Corporation of India Ltd., P.O. Rishra, Distt., Hooghly, West Bengal having their Registered Office at 34 Chowringhee Road, Calcutta-16.	Ziram technical—IS : 3900-1966.	
15 CM/L-2119 23-10-1969	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	Punjab Steel Rolling Mills, Old Station, Baroda-3.	Cold twisted steel bars for concrete reinforcement—IS : 1786-1966.	

16	CM/L—2120 23-10-1969	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	Crown Timber & Foods, Pvt. Ltd., Shaharanpur Road, P.O. Yamunanagar, Dist. Ambala (Haryana) having their Regd. Office at 8/9 Bentinck Street, Calcutta—I.	Plywood tea-chest battens—IS:10—1964.
17	CM/L—2121 24-10-1969	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	Guest, Kean, Williams Limited, 97, Andul Road, Shalimar, Howrah—3 West Bengal having their Regd. Office at Jeewan Deep, I, Middleton St., Calcutta—16.	(i) Black hexagon bolts (6 to 39 mm) with nuts and black hexagon screws (6 to 24 mm)—IS: 1363—1967. (ii) Precision and turned hexagon bolts (6 to 39 mm) with nuts and black hexagon screws (6 to 39 mm)—IS: 1364—1967. (iii) Slotted countersunk lead machine screws (1.6 to 20 mm)—IS:1365—1968. (iv) Slotted rounds & cheese head machine screws (1.6 to 20 mm)—IS:1366—1968. (v) Black square bolts & nuts (6 to 39 mm) and black square screws (6 to 24 mm)—IS:2585—1968. (vi) Coach bolts (M6 to M24)—IS:2609—1964.
18	CM/L—2122 27-10-1969	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	Dr. Sarup's Pest Control Private Ltd., Anand Niwas, Village & P.O. Shamsabad, Hyderabad District having their office at III—31 Lal Bahadur Stadium, Fateh Maidan, Hyderabad—21.	Gama BHC Lindane smoke generators—IS:1505—1968.
19	CM/L—2123 27-10-1969	1-11-1970	31-10-1970	Swastika Steel & Allied Products, 8/1, Nutan Para Road, Liliyah, Howrah.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS:1977—1962.
20	CM/L—2124 27-10-1969	1-1-1970	31-12-1970	Kerala Tin Works & Machinery Industries, Tea-chest metal fittings—KMC/VII/306, K. K. Road, Kottayam—I, Kerala State.	IS:10—1964.
21	CM/L—2125 27-10-1969	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	East India Industries, Prop. East India Rubber Works (Pvt) Ltd., 212 Sarat Banerjee Road, Panihati (24 Parganas) having their Office at 161 Chittaranjan Avenue, Calcutta—7	Structural steel (standard quality)—IS:226—1962.
22	CM/L—2126 27-10-1969	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS:1977—1962.
23	CM/L—2127 28-10-1969	16-11-1969	15-11-1970	Jairamdas Udyog Pvt. Ltd. 8th Mile, Mysore Road, Kengeri P.O. Bangalore, South (Mysore State) having their office at silver Jubilee Park Road, Bangalore—2.	Twist drills of the following types: (i) Parallel shank short series or jobber (ii) Parallel shank stub series (iii) Parallel shank long series, and (iv) Morse taper shank—IS:599—1960.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
24 CM/L-2128 28-10-1969	1-II-1969	31-10-1970	Industrial Trading Corporation, 1 Befur Road, BHC dusting powders—IS:561—1962. Lihuah, Howrah having their Office at 8B, Lall Bazar Street, Calcutta—I.		
25 CM/L-2129 30-10-1969	1-II-1969	31-10-1970	S.N. Chemical Industries B-25, Govt. Industrial Estate, Mehrauli Road, Gurgaon.	BHC dusting powders—IS:561—1962.	
26 CM/L-2130 30-10-1969	1-II-1969	31-10-1970	Harlalka, M.C. & Co. Industrial Estate, Tea-chest metal fittings—IS:10—1964.. Bamuni Maidan, Gauhati (Assam).		
27 CM/L-2131 16-II-1969	16-II-1969	15-II-1970	Mahendra UGINE Steel Company Ltd. KHO-PALI, Dist. Kolaba Maharashtra having their Office at 14, Altamount Road, Bombay	Alloy steel billets blooms and slabs for forging for general engineering purposes—IS:4368—1976.	
			—26—WB.		
28 CM/L-2132 31-10-1969	16-II-1969	15-II-1970	Do	Carbon steel bars for forgings—IS:4361967	
29 CM/L-2133 31-10-1969	16-II-1969	15-II-1970	Do.	Carbon-chromium steel for the manufacture of balls, rollers and bearing races—IS:4398—1967.	
30 CM/L-2134 31-10-1969	16-II-1969	15-II-1970	Do.	Mould steel—IS:4430—1967.	
31 CM/L-2135 31-10-1969	16-II-1969	15-II-1970	Do.	Carbon and carbon-manganese free-cutting steels—IS:4431—1967.	
32 CM/L-2136 31-10-1969	16-II-1969	15-II-1970	Do.	Case-hardening steels—IS:4432—1967.	
33 CM/L-2137 31-10-1969	16-II-1969	15-II-1970	Do.	Carbon steel, blooms and slabs for forging—IS:1875—1966.	
34 C-M/L-2138 31-10-1969	1-II-1969	31-10-1970	National Pesticides 5, Industrial Estate, Vidisha (M.P.)	BHC dusting powders—IS:561—1962.	
35 CM/L-2139 31-10-1969	1-II-1969	31-10-1970	Do.	Malathion emulsifiable concentrates—IS:2567—1963.	
36 CM/L-2140 31-10-1969	1-II-1969	31-10-1970	Agro Industrial Chemical Co., 13-A, Kalyan View, Rudrapur (Nainital).	Dieldrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS:1054—1962.	
37 CM/L-2141 31-10-1969	16-II-1969	15-II-1970	Prakash Insecticides Private Ltd., Naini (Allahabad).	Aldrin dusting powders—IS:1308—1958.	

New Delhi, the 20th November 1969

S.O. 4850.—In pursuance of regulation 4 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, the Indian Standards Institution hereby notifies that amendment(s) to the Indian Standard(s) given in the schedule hereto annexed have been issued under the power conferred by the sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 3 of the said Regulations.

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	No. and title of the Indian Standard amended	No. and Date of Gazette Notification in which the establishment of the Indian Standard was notified	No. and Date of the Amendment	Brief particulars of the Amendment	Date from which the amendment shall have effect
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	IS: 394-1963 Specification for ink, cloth marking (Revised)	S.O. 83 dated 2 January 1965	No. 1 June 1969	Clause A-2 '1' 2 has been amended	1 June 1969
2	IS: 435-1966 Specification for castor oil (revised)	S.O. 4023 dated 31 December 1966	No. 1 November 1969	Table 1 has been amended	1 November 1969
3	IS: 934-1967 Specification for portable chemical fire extinguisher, soda acid type (first revision)	S.O. 683 dated 24 February 1968	No. 1 November 1969	Existing Fig. 1 has been substituted by a new one	5 November 1969
4	IS: 1806-1961 Specification for malted milk food	S.O. 2534 dated 28 October 1961	No. 2 November 1969	Table 1 and clause A-1 have been amended	1 November 1969
5	IS: 1989-1967 Specification for miners' safety leather boots and shoes (first revision)	S.O. 2038 dated 11 June 1967	No. 1 October 1969	(i) Clauses 5.1.1, 6.4.1., 6.5, 8.3, 9.7, 9.10, 9.12, 13.3, E-5.1 and Fig. 6 & Fig. 12 and informal table under clause D-1.1 have been amended (ii) Note: Under clause 6.5.1 has been substituted by a new one and new notes under clause 6.18 and II.16 have been added. (iii) Clauses 6.7, 6.15.1, 9.14, II.5, II.6, II.13, 14.14.1.1, E-1.3, Title of Appendix 'A' and table 4 have been substituted by new ones. (iv) Clauses II.5.1 and II.13.1 have been deleted and (v) New clauses E-0.2 and 3.2 have been added.	30 October 1969

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
6	IS: 2055-1962 Reference tables for platinum-platinum/rhodium thermocouples	S.O. 3881 dated 29 December 62	No. 1 November 1969	(i) The title on first cover page, pages 1 and 4 has been substituted by a new one (ii) Contents of page 3 have been substituted by new ones (iii) Clauses 0.3, 1.1 and 2.1 have been amended and (iv) Captions of tables I, II, III and IV have been substituted by new ones	1 November 1969
7	IS: 2056-1962 Reference tables for copper-constantan thermocouples	S.O. 3593 dated 1 December 1962	No. 1 November 1969	Clause 0.3 has been amended	1 November 1969
8	IS: 2057-1962 Reference tables for iron-constantan thermocouples	S.O. 3593 dated 1 December 1962	No. 1 November 1969	Clause 0.2 has been amended	1 November 1969
9	IS: 2199-1962 Test chart for radial drilling machines	S.O. 1421 dated 25 May 1963	No. 2 November 1969	(i) (Pages 5, Sl.No.4) delete all the provisions given against this sl.No. (ii) (Page 7, Note)—delete numeral (4) from the Note.	1 November 1969
10	IS: 2345-1963 Specification for carbonated beverages	S.O. 3593 dated 28 December 63	No. 1 September 1969	Clause B-2 has been amended	28 October 1969
11	IS: 2512-1963 Specification for miners' cap lamp batteries (lead-acid type)	S.O. 280 dated 25 January 1964	No. 3 November 1969	(i) Clauses 5.3.1 and 5.3.2 have been substituted by new ones (ii) Page 7, clause 5.8.5 (see page 2 of amendment No. 2)—add the words 'per cell' at the end of the clause;	28 October 1969
12	IS: 2575-1963 Specification for dry batteries for transistor radio receivers.	S.O. 950 dated 21 March 1964	No. 5 November 1969	Page 9, clause 7.(g) (see amendment No. 2 of September 1967)—Delete the item	1 November 1967
13	IS: 2705 (Part-I)—1964 Specification for current transformers Part I general requirements	S.O. 3490 dated 3 October 1964	No. 2 November 1969	Page 12 (page 13 of the reprint), clause 5.1 (f) 1 November 1969 delete the item and re-number the subsequent items accordingly.	1 November 1969
14	IS: 3017-1965 Specification for thermostats for use with electric water heaters	S.O. 2673 dated 28 August 1965	No. 2 November 1969	(i) Clause 7.7 and sl. No. 15 of table 1 have been deleted and the subsequent ones renumbered accordingly. (ii) Clause 11.3.4.2 and Appendix C have been deleted.	29 October 1969

15	IS:3055-1965 Specification for clinical thermometers	S.O. 1594 dated 22 May 1955	No. 2 September 1969	Keeping in view the experience gained in testing & manufacturing clinical thermometers laboratory glassware and related apparatus sectional committee, responsible for the preparation of this standard, decided to issue this amendment to improve the standard.	29 October 1969
16	IS:3609-1966 Specification for chrome molybdenum steel, seamless boiler and superheater tubes	S.O. 1533 dated 29 April 1967	No. 1 November 1969	Clause 0.2.1 has been added	1 November 1969
17	IS:4167-1966 Glossary of terms relating to air pollution.	S.O. 4633 dated 30 December 1967	No. 1 November 1969	(Page 12, line 3 from the top)—Substitute the words 'a suitable multiple of standard deviation' for the words 'the standard deviation'.	1 November 1969

Copies of these amendments are available with the Indian Standards Institution, 'Manak Bhavan' 9 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi and also its branch offices at (i) 534 Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel Road, Bombay-7 (ii) 5 Chowinghee Approach, Calcutta-13 (iii) 54 General Patters Road Madras-2 (iv) 117/418B Sarvodaya Nagar, Kanpur, and (v) 5-9-201/2 Chirag Ali Lane, Hyderabad-1.

[No. CMD/13:5]

S.O. 4851.—In pursuance of sub-regulation (1) of Regulation 8 of the Indian Standards Institution (Certification Marks) Regulations, 1955, as amended from time to time, the Indian Standards Institution, hereby, notifies that ninetyone licences, particulars of which are given in the following Schedule, have been renewed.

THE SCHEDULE

Serial No.	Licence No. and Date	Period of Validity		Name and Address of the Licensee	Article/Process covered by the Licence and the Relevant IS Designation
		From	To		
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
1	CM/L-15 30-9-1956	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Bombay Suburban Industries Association, Flag Section, Gramodyog Wadi, 38/43 Dockyard Road, Bombay-10DD	The national flag of India (cotton khadi)— IS: 1-1968
2	CM/L-98 18-9-1958	1-10-1969	15-1-1971	Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Palluruthy, Cochin-5.	BHC dusting powders—IS: 561- 1962
3	CM/L-99 18-9-1958	1-10-1969	15-1-1971	Do.	DDT dusting powders—IS: 564 - 1961
4	CM/L-104 7-10-1958	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	E.I.D.Patty Ltd., Nelliukuppam, South Arcot, Distt. Madras.	Rectified spirit - IS: 323-1959
5	CM/L-139 28-8-1959	16-10-1969	15-1-1971	Tata Fison Industries Ltd., Pailuruthy, Cochin, Kerala State.	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates— IS: 565-1961
6	CM/L-140 28-8-1959	16-10-1969	15-1-1971	Do.	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates— IS: 562-1962
7	CM/L-141 24-9-1959	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Tata Fison Industries Ltd., 20 Howrah Road, Salkia, Calcutta.	DDT dusting powders—IS: 564-1961.
8	CM/L-142 24-9-1959	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Do.	BHC dusting powders—IS: 561-1962
9	CM/L-204 28-6-1960	16-10-1969	31-10-1970	Jaipur Metals & Electrical Ltd., Jaipur (Rajasthan)	Copper rods for boiler stay bolts and rivets— IS: 288-1960
10	CM/L-232 17-10-1960	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	Assam Plywood Products, Village Kakojan, Nohorkatia, P.O. Jeypore, Assam.	Tea-chest plywood panels—IS: 10-1964
11	CM/L-285 28-3-1961	16-10-1969	31-5-1970	All India Medical Corp., Mulji Jetha Building, 185, Princess Street, Bombay-2.	BHC dusting powders—IS: 561-1962
12	CM/L-340 20-9-1961	1-10-1969	15-9-1970	Mysore Insecticides Co. Pvt. Ltd., 31-A, North Beach Road, Madras-1.	DDT dusting powders—IS: 564-1961
13	CM/L-341 29-9-1961	16-10-1969	15-6-1970	Mysore Insecticides Co. (Andhra), 18/257 Gan-dhinagar, P. B. No. 425, Vijayawada-3.	BHC dusting powders—IS: 561-1962
14	CM/L-342 20-9-1961	1-10-1969	31-5-1970	All India Medical Corporation,Mulji Jetha Building, 185, Princess Street, Bombay-2.	DDT water dispersible powders concentrates— IS: 565-1961

15	CM/L-343 27-9-1961	[16-10-1969]	15-10-1970	S. G. Can Factory, Yamunanagar (Rly. Station), Jagadhari	18 litre square tins—IS: 916—1966
16	CM/L-347 29-9-1961	[16-10-1969]	15-10-1970	The Mysore Electro-Chemical Works Limited, Yeshwantpur, Bangalore-22.	Lead-acid storage batteries (light duty) for motor vehicles—IS: 395—1962
17	CM/L-348 11-10-1961	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	The Malwa Vanaspati & Chemical Co. Ltd., Bhagirathpura, Indore.	18-litre square tins—IS: 916—1966.
18	CM/L-460 28-9-1962	[16-10-1969]	15-11-1970	Geo Industries & Insecticides (India) Pvt. Ltd., Fields No. 82/3 (a) Sathankadu, Kaladipet, Madras-19.	BHC dusting powders—IS: 561—1962.
19	CM/L-461 28-9-1962	[16-10-1969]	15-11-1970	Do.]	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 1310—1958
20	CM/L-562 11-7-1963	[1-8-1969]	31-10-1970	Annapurna Pulverising Mills, Industrial Estate, Eluru, W.G. Distt. (A.P.)	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates—IS: 562—1962.
21	CM/L-573 29-8-1963	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Nestle's Products (India) Ltd., Link House, 3 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1.	Condensed milk, full cream, sweetened IS:—1166—1957.
22	CM/L-577 11-9-1963	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Bharat Pulverising Mills (Pvt.) Ltd., 1074, Thiruvottiyur High Road, Madras-19.	Parathion emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 2129—1962
23	CM/L-579 11-9-1963	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Dhirendra Metal Works, 7/1, Benaras Road, Salkia Howrah "	Wrought aluminium utensils, grade STC—IS: 21—1959
24	CM/L-580 11-9-1963	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Kohinoor Paint Colour and Varnish Works, 13, R.B. Rattan Chand Road, The Mall, Amritsar.	(i) Enamel synthetic, exterior, type 1. (a) undercoating (b) finishing, colour as required, class brushing—IS: 2932—1964
					(ii) Enamel, exterior type 2— (a) undercoating (b) finishing, colour as required, class brushing—IS: 2933—1964
					(iii) Enamel interior (a) undercoating (b) finishing, colour as required—IS: 133—1965
25	CM/L-588 4-10-1963	[16-10-1969]	15-10-1970	The Ahmedabad Mfg. & Calico Printing Co. Ltd., Anik-chembur, Bombay-74 (AS)	PVC Insulated cables (for voltages up to 1100 V)—IS: 694 (Parts I & II) 1964.
26	CM/L-593 28-10-1963	[16-11-1969]	15-5-1970	The Metal Box Co. of India Ltd., Elaiya Mudali Street, Tondiarpet, Madras-21.	18-litre square tins—IS: 916—1966
27	CM/L-607 11-12-1963	[1-11-1969]	31-10-1970	Production Centre for Electric Motors, (Govt. of India, Ministry of Industrial Development, Internal Trade & Company Affairs), Tiruvala (Kerala)	Singlephase, capacitor start small Ac and universal electric motors from 1/4 HP to 1 HP with class 'A' insulation—IS: 996—1964
28	CM/L-639 27-2-1964	[16-10-1969]	30-6-1970	Power Cables Pvt. Ltd., Near Vithalwadi Rly. Stn., Vithalwadi, Post Box No. 4, Kalyan (Maharashtra)	PVC insulated (heavy duty) electric cables for working voltages up to and including 1100 Volts (with aluminium conductors on ly)—IS: 1554 (Part-I)—1964

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
29	CM/L-760 21-8-1964	16-9-1969	15-9-1970	Berco Welding & Electrical Equipment Mfg. Co., Railway Road, Jullundur City.	Arc welding transformers, single operator type, 380-440 Volts, upto 350 amps max continuous hand welding current—IS: 1851—1966
30	CM/L-776 28-9-1964	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Bhagwan Paint Industries (India), 16-A DLF Industrial Area, Najafgarh Road, New Delhi-15.	Putty for use on metal frames—IS: 419—1967
31	CM/L-789 25-9-1964	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Prima Brushware, 30, Suryya Sen Calcutta-9	Brushes, paints and varnish, flat 100 mm size—IS: 384—1964
32	CM/L-791 30-9-1964	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Aluminium Corp. of India Ltd., Jayaknagar Near Asansol (West Bengal).	AAC & ACSR conductors—IS: 398—1961
33	CM/L-792 30-9-1964	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Hindustan Wires Limited, B.T. Road, P.O. Sukchar, Dt. 24 Parganas.	Steel wire for the core of ACSR conductors—IS: 398—1961
34	CM/L-793 30-9-1964	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	National Steel Works Ltd., Warden House (First Floor), Sir Firozeshah Mehta Road, Fort, Bombay.	Structural steel (standard quality)—IS: 226—1962
35	CM/L-794 30-9-1964	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)—IS: 1977—1962
36	CM/L-799 20-10-1964	1-II-1969	31-10-1970	Annapurna Pulverising Mills, Industrial Estate Eluru, W.G. Distt. (A.P.)	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates—IS: 1310—1958.
37	CM/L-1020 4-3-1965	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Indodan Milk Products Ltd., Budhana Road, Muzaffarnagar (U.P.)	Condensed milk, full-cream, sweetened—IS: 1166—1957
38	CM/L-1044 26-3-1965	16-10-1969	15-4-1970	Shibu Metal, Subzi Mandi, Jagadhri (Haryana)	Wrought aluminium wires & grade SIC—IS: 21—1959.
39	CM/L-1052 15-4-1965	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	J. K. Steel & Industries Ltd., Rishra, Distt. Hooghly, West Bengal.	Hot rolled steel strips (baling)—IS: 1029—1956
40	CM/L-1078 31-5-1965	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Sree Hanuman Industries, 65/A, G. T. Road, Liliyah, Howrah.	Cast iron flushing cisterns (bell type) high level, 10 litres, 12.5 litres & 15 litres capacities—IS: 774—1964
41	CM/L-1136 3-9-1965	1-10-1969	15-8-1970	Cyanamid India Ltd., Atul, Via Bulsar.	Malathion, technical—IS: 1832—1961
42	CM/L-1139 14-9-1965	1-10-1969	31-3-1970	Empee Insecticides and Fertilizers (Pvt.) Ltd., Nasarwan Wada Kanti (M.P.)	BHC dusting powders— IS: 561—1962
43	CM/L-1144 14-9-1965	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Auto Pins (India) Regd Plot No. 16, Industrial Area, Faridabad.	Spring leaves & leaf springs— IS: 1135—1966
44	CM/L-1146 17-9-1965	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Lillooah Steel & Wire Co. Ltd., 15/2, Belur Road, Lillooah Howrah.	Structural steel (standard quality) tested steel upto 25 mm (1") dia rounds and other sections of equivalent area only.— IS: 226—1962

45	CM/L-1147 17-9-1965	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Do	Structural steel (ordinary quality) tested steel up 25 mm (1") dia rounds and other sections of equivalent area only.— IS: 1977-1962
46	CM/L-1151 6-10-1965	16-10-1960	30-6-1970	The National Rolling & Steel Ropes Ltd., Nicco House, 1 & 2 Hare Street, Calcutta-1.	Mild steel wire for general engineering purposes IS: 280-1962
47	CM/L-1152 12-10-1965	16-10-1969	31-1-1971	Bayer (India) Ltd, Kolshet, Thana.	Methyl parathion emulsifiable concentrates— IS : 2865-1964
48	CM/L-1153 12-10-1965	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Nestle's Products (India) Ltd., Link House 3 Bahadur Shah Zafar Marg, New Delhi-1.	Soluble Coffee powder IS: 2791-1964.
49	CM/L-1258 5-5-1966	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	Geep Flashlight Industries Ltd, 28 South Road, Allahabad.	Flash lights— IS: 2083-1962
50	CM/L-1330 13-9-1966	16-9-1969	15-9-1970	General Trading Corporation, B-3/24 Model Town, Delhi.	Electric irons, non automatic type, of voltage not exceeding 250 volts (450 & 600 watts)— IS : 366-1965
51	CM/L-1335 27-9-1966	1-10-1969	31-5-1970	Electrical Mfg. Co. Ltd., 136 Jessore Road, Calcutta-55.	(i) Fittings for ACSR conductors— IS: 2121-1962 and (ii) Insulator fittings— IS : 2486 (Parts I & II) 1963 for overhead power lines
52	CM/L-1429 14-4-1967	16-10-1969	28-2-1970	Bharat Minerals & Chemicals Co., Transport Depot Road (Behind No.9), Calcutta-7.	Dieldrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1054-1962
53	CM/L-1440 15-5-1967	1-11-1969	15-7-1970	Singhal Pesticides, 9/122, Moti Bagh Jamuna Bridge, Agra (U.P.)	Chlordane dusting powders— IS : 2864-1964
54	CM/L-1483 24-7-1967	1-11-1969	31-10-1970	Assam Bengal Veneer Industries Pvt. Ltd., 32 Canel South Road, Calcutta-15.	Plywood tea-chest panel— IS : 10-1964
55	CM/L-1513 12-9-1967	16-9-1969	15-3-1970	Tata Fison Industries Ltd., 431/4, Panch-pakadi Village, Balrajeshwar Road, Mumbund, Bombay-80.	BHC water dispersible powder concentrates— IS: 562-1962
56	CM/L-1515 15-9-1967	1-10-1969	31-3-1970	Dasmesh Engineering Works, 2614, Sultanwind Road, Amritsar, (Punjab).	Water meters, 15 mm size, dry-dial, inferential type A— IS : 779-1968
57	CM/L-1526 15-9-1967	16-9-1969	31-12-1970	Insecticides & Allied Chemicals, Narayananpuram Velacherry High Road, Medavakkam P.O., Madras-45.	BHC dusting powders— IS: 561-1962
58	CM/L-1527 15-9-1967	16-9-1969	15-3-1970	Do.	DDT dusting powders— IS: 564-1961
59	CM/L-1529 20-9-1967	1-10-1969	30-4-1970	New Chemi-Mineral Mills Pvt. Ltd., Chakravati Ashok Road, Kandivali (East), Bombay-67.	COC water dispersible powder concentrates IS: 1507-1966
60	CM/L-1535 4-10-1967	16-10-1969	15-12-1970	Standard Mineral Products Pvt. Ltd., Subhas Nagar, Jogeshwari (East), Bombay-60.	BHC dusting powders— IS: 561-1962

1	2	3	4	5	6
61	CM/L-1538 5-10-1967	16-10-1969	15-4-1970	Hardwyn Industrial Trading Corpn., 308/5A, Shahzada Bagh, Old Rohtak Road, Delhi-7.	Door closers (hydraulically regulated), sizes 1 and 2 only. IS: 3564-1966
62	CM/L-1540 6-10-1967	16-10-1969	13-8-1970	Neiveli Ceramics & Refractories Ltd., Va- dalpur (Post), South Arcot Dt. (Madras State).	Vitreous sanitary appliances (vitreous china)— IS: 2556 (Parts II, III, IV, V, VI, IX & X) 1967
63	CM/L-1544 9-10-1967	16-10-1969	15-4-1970	The Hind Iron Foundry, Railway Road, Batala.	Sand cast iron soil pipes, size 100 mm only IS: 1729-1964
64	CM/L-1545 9-10-1967	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Eltex Engg. Corpn. Pvt. Ltd. Krishnaraya- puram Rcad, Ganapathy Post, Coimbatore.	Three-phase induction motors 2.2 kW (3HP), 3.7 kW (5 HP) and 7.5 kW (10 HP) with class "A" insulation— IS: 325-1961
65	CM/L-1547 19-10-1967	1-11-1969	13-10-1970	M. B. Industries, Industrial Area, Jaipur West.	Cast iron flushing cisterns (bell type) high level, 12.5 litres capacity only. IS: 774-1964
66	CM/L-1649 8-3-1968	16-9-1969	15-3-1970	Agarwal Hardware Works (Pvt.) Ltd., 29 Ishwar Chatterjee Road, Sodepur, 24 Par- ganas.	Cold twisted steel bars for concrete reinforce- ment— IS: 1786-1966
67	CM/L-1671 3-4-1968	16-10-1969	15-2-1970	Metal Udyog Pvt. Ltd., Industrial Area, Pra- tapnagar, Udaipur (Rajasthan).	Diekdrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1054-1962
68	CM/L-1748 18-7-1968	1-11-1969	15-7-1970	Singhal Pesticides, 9/122 Moti Bagh, Jamuna Bridge, Agra (U.P.)	DDT dusting powders— IS: 564-1961
69	CM/L-1753 23-7-1968	1-11-1969	30-4-1970	Ramchander Heeralall, 62, College Ghat Road, Shalimar (Howrah).	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 226-1962
70	CM/L-1754 23-7-1968	1-11-1969	30-4-1970	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS: 1977-1962
71	CM/L-1755 23-7-1968	1-11-1969	30-4-1970	Do.	Rivet bars for structural purposes— IS: 1148-1964
72	CM/L-1782 5-9-1968	16-9-1969	15-9-1970	National Metal Industries 314 Bhagirath- pura, Indore (M.P.)	Structural steel (standard quality)— IS: 226-1962
73	CM/L-1783 5-9-1968	16-9-1969	15-9-1970	Do.	Structural steel (ordinary quality)— IS: 1977-1962.
74	CM/L-1788 16-9-1968	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Esso Standard Eastern Inc., Esso Building 17 Jamshedji Tata Road, Bombay-I.	Hexane, food grade— IS: 3470-1966
75	CM/L-1789 16-9-1968	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Burmah Shell Oil Storage and Distributing Co. of India Ltd., Burmah Shell House, Ballard Estate, Bombay-I.	Hexane, food grade— IS: 3470-1966
76	CM/L-1795 17-9-1968	1-10-1969	230-9-1970	Bhavnagar Vegetable Products Ltd., Bun- der Road, Bhavnagar.	18-litre square tins— IS: 916-1966

77 CM/L-1799 25-9-1968	1-10-1969	31-3-1970	The Agro Industrial and Chemicals Co., 13-A, Kalyani View, Rudarpur (Nainital).	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310-1958
78 CM/L-1801 27-9-1968	1-10-1969	30-9-1970	Agarwal Metal Works (P) Ltd., Agarwal Road, Rewari (Haryana).	Rolled brass sheets and strips other than those meant for utensils, above 0.5 mm. thickness, designation Cu Zn 37— IS: 410-1967
79 CM/L-1803 7-10-1968	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Pioneer Agro Industries, Plot No. 24, Badlapur Industrial Estate, M.I.D.C., P.O. Kulgaon, Distt. Thana.	Endrin emulsifiable concentrates— IS: 1310-1958
80 CM/L-1804 9-10-1968	16-10-1969	31-8-1970	Hindustan Steel Ltd, Rourkela Steel Plant, Rourkela.	Galvanized steel sheets (plain and corrugated) IS: 277-1962
81 CM/L-1807 9-10-1968	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Advani Oerlikon Pvt Ltd., Bilasur Road, Raipur (M.P.)	Covered electrodes for metal arc welding of mild steel of normal penetration type— IS: 814-1967
82 CM/L-1808 14-10-1968	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	The Mineral Mining Co. Pvt., Ltd, P. O. Rayalcheruvu, Tadpatri, TQ, Anantapur Dist. (A.P.)	BHC dusting powders— IS: 561-1962
83 CM/L-1812 14-10-1968	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Sur Iron & Steel Co (P) Ltd, 378, G. T. Road, Belur, Howrah.	Single-operator, rectifier type, dc arc welders current rating 250A— IS: 4559-1968
84 CM/L-1813 14-10-1968	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	Shalimar Biscuits (Pvt.) Ltd, Uppal Industrial Estate, Warangal Road, Uppal, Hyderabad.	Biscuits— IS: 1011-1968
85 CM/L-1814 15-10-1968	16-10-1969	15-10-1970	L. Madanlal(Aluminium) Pvt. Ltd, 2, Haren Mukher Road, Belur, Howrah.	Aluminium utensils— IS: 21-1959
86 CM/L-1816 15-10-1968	16-10-1969	31-12-1970	Mahendra Electricals Ltd., Kamla Mission Road, Nadiad, W. Rly. (Gujarat).	Thermoplastic insulated weather proof cables— IS : 3035 (Parts I & II) 1965
87 CM/L-1820 25-10-1968	1-II-1969	31-3-1970	United Pulverisers, Bodla, Agra-7.	DDT dusting powders— IS: 564-1961
88 CM/L-1821 25-10-1968	1-II-1969	31-10-1970	The Motilal Pesticides (India) Masani- Delhi Road, Mathura.	BHC dusting powders— IS : 561-1962
89 CM/L-1824 31-10-1968	1-II-1969	31-10-1970	Him Pine Industries, 11, Anand Chowk, Dehra Dun (U.P.)	Plywood tea-chest battens— IS : 10-1964
90 CM/L-1825 6-II-1968	1-II-1969	15-4-1970	Venkateswara Agro Chemical & Minerals 6/303, Thiruvottiyur High Road, Madras-21.	DDT water dispersible powder concentrates— IS: 565-1961
91 CM/L-1827 6-II-1968	1-II-1969	31-12-1970	Mahendra Electricals Ltd., Kamla Mission Road, Nadiad, W. Rly, (Gujarat).	Cables for motor vehicles— IS: 2465-1963

{ CMD/13 : 12]
A. K. GUPTA,
Deputy Director General.

(Department of Industrial Development)

ORDER

New Delhi, the 25th November 1969

S.O. 4852/IDRA/18G/67.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 18G and section 25 of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951) and of all other powers enabling it in this behalf, the Central Government hereby makes the following Order further to amend the Cement Control Order 1967, namely,

1. (1) This Order may be called the Cement Control (Fourth Amendment) Order, 1969.

(2) It shall be deemed to have come into force with effect from the 16th April, 1969.

2. In the Schedule to the Cement Control Order, 1967, the following shall be added at the end, namely:—

"In the case of clinker moved by sea from Sikka to Bombay, payment of wharfage charges paid at Sikka may also be allowed."

[No. 1-35/67-Cem.]

G. RAMANATHAN, Under Secy.

(Department of Industrial Development)

ORDERS

New Delhi, the 26th November 1969

S.O. 4853/18A/IDRA/69.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-clause (1) of section 18A of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951 (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby makes the following further amendments in the notified Order of the Government of India in the late Ministry of Industrial Development and Company Affairs (Department of Industrial Development) No. S.O. 2091/18A/IDRA/67 dated the 2nd September, 1967, namely:—

In the said order—

(1) for paragraph 2, the following paragraph shall be substituted, namely:—

"2. The Board of Management shall consist of the following, namely:—

Chairman

(i) Shri K. L. Pasricha, Joint Secretary (Sugar), Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation (Department of Food), New Delhi.

Members

(ii) Shri Mangal Bihari, Chief Director, Directorate of Sugar and Vanaspati (Department of Food), New Delhi.

(iii) Shri N. S. Jain, Director (Sugar Technical), Directorate of Sugar and Vanaspati (Department Food), New Delhi.

(iv) Shri R. P. Khosla, Special Secretary to the Government of Uttar Pradesh, Industries (C) Department, Lucknow.

(v) Shri L. K. Nagar, Cane Commissioner Government of Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow.

(vi) Shri D. D. Puri, B-61, Greater Kailash, New Delhi-48.

(vii) Dr. H. R. Virmani, F-16, Hauz Khas, New Delhi-16.

Member-Secretary

(viii) Shri R. K. Badhwar, Officer on Special Duty Directorate of Sugar and Vanaspati (Department of Food), New Delhi.";

(2) in paragraph 5, for the words "two years and six months", the words "three years" shall be substituted."

[No. 9(1) Lic. Pol./67.]

S.O. 4854/18A/IDRA/69.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-clause (1) of section 18A of the Industries (Development and Regulation) Act, 1951, (65 of 1951), the Central Government hereby makes the following amendments in the notified order of the Government of India, in the late Ministry of Industrial Development and Company Affairs (Department of Industrial Development) No. S.O. 4460/18A/IDRA/67, dated the 14th December, 1967, namely:—

In the said Order—

(1) in clause 2,—

(i) for items 2 and 3, the following items shall be substituted, namely:—

“2. Shri Mangal Bihari, Chief Director, Directorate of Sugar and Vanaspati, (Department of Food), New Delhi;

3. Shri N. S. Jain, Director (Sugar Technical) Directorate of Sugar and Vanaspati, (Department of Food), New Delhi”;

(ii) for item 6, the following item shall be substituted, namely:—

“6. Shri R. K. Badhwar, Officer on Special Duty, Directorate of Sugar and Vanaspati (Department of Food), New Delhi”;

(2) in clause 5 for the words “two years”, the words “three years” shall be substituted.

[No. 9(2)Lic.Pol./67.]

(Department of Industrial Development)

CORRIGENDUM

New Delhi, the 28th November 1969

S.O. 4855.—In this Ministry's order No. IDRA/6/3/69, dated 1st May, 1969 Published in Part-II Section 3 Sub-section (ii) of the Gazette of India dated 10th May, 1969, under which the Development Council for Sugar was reconstituted:—

For

“15. The Commissioner of Industries, State Government of Mysore, Bangalore (*Ex-officio*)”

Read

“15. Director of Industries and Commerce. State Government of Mysore, Bangalore (*Ex-officio*)”

[No. 13(8)DC/68-LC.]

R. C. SETHI, Under Secy.

MINISTRY OF FOOD, AGRICULTURE, COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION

(Department of Agriculture)

New Delhi, the 29th October 1969

S.O. 4856.—In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (1) of article 299 of the Constitution, the President hereby directs that the instruments of deeds authorising the release of security deposits of the former employees of the Central Tractors Organisation, New Delhi, in Saving Fund Accounts pledged to the Chairman, Central Tractors Organisation, New Delhi may be executed on his behalf by Shri M. L. Ray, Deputy Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Food, Agriculture, Community Development and Cooperation (Department of Agriculture).

[No. 18-4/69-MY.]

P. I. DAVID, Under Secy.

